



Reproductive seasonality in captive wild ruminants: implications for biogeographical adaptation, photoperiodic control, and life history

Zerbe, Philipp ; Clauss, Marcus ; Codron, Daryl ; Bingaman Lackey, Laurie ; Rensch, Eberhard ; Streich, Jürgen W ; Hatt, Jean-Michel ; Müller, Dennis W H

Abstract: Many ruminant species show seasonal patterns of reproduction. Causes for this are widely debated, and include adaptations to seasonal availability of resources (with cues either from body condition in more tropical, or from photoperiodism in higher latitude habitats) and/or defence strategies against predators. Conclusions so far are limited to datasets with less than 30 species. Here, we use a dataset on 110 wild ruminant species kept in captivity in temperate-zone zoos to describe their reproductive patterns quantitatively [determining the birth peak breadth (BPB) as the number of days in which 80% of all births occur]; then we link this pattern to various biological characteristics [latitude of origin, mother-young-relationship (hider/follower), proportion of grass in the natural diet (grazer/browser), sexual size dimorphism/mating system], and compare it with reports for free-ranging animals. When comparing taxonomic subgroups, variance in BPB is highly correlated to the minimum, but not the maximum BPB, suggesting that a high BPB (i.e. an aseasonal reproductive pattern) is the plesiomorphic character in ruminants. Globally, latitude of natural origin is highly correlated to the BPB observed in captivity, supporting an overruling impact of photoperiodism on ruminant reproduction. Feeding type has no additional influence; the hider/follower dichotomy, associated with the anti-predator strategy of 'swamping', has additional influence in the subset of African species only. Sexual size dimorphism and mating system are marginally associated with the BPB, potentially indicating a facilitation of polygamy under seasonal conditions. The difference in the calculated Julian date of conception between captive populations and that reported for free-ranging ones corresponds to the one expected if absolute day length was the main trigger in highly seasonal species: calculated day length at the time of conception between free-ranging and captive populations followed a $y=x$ relationship. Only 11 species (all originating from lower latitudes) were considered to change their reproductive pattern distinctively between the wild and captivity, with 10 becoming less seasonal (but not aseasonal) in human care, indicating that seasonality observed in the wild was partly resource-associated. Only one species (*Antidorcas marsupialis*) became more seasonal in captivity, presumably because resource availability in the wild overrules the innate photoperiodic response. Reproductive seasonality explains additional variance in the body mass–gestation period relationship, with more seasonal species having shorter gestation periods for their body size. We conclude that photoperiodism, and in particular absolute day length, are genetically fixed triggers for reproduction that may be malleable to some extent by body condition, and that plasticity in gestation length is an important facilitator that may partly explain the success of ruminant radiation to high latitudes. Evidence for an anti-predator strategy involving seasonal reproduction is limited to African species. Reproductive seasonality following rainfall patterns may not be an adaptation to give birth in periods of high resource availability but an adaptation to allow conception only at times of good body condition.

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich
ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-64986>
Journal Article
Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Zerbe, Philipp; Clauss, Marcus; Codron, Daryl; Bingaman Lackey, Laurie; Rensch, Eberhard; Streich, Jürgen W; Hatt, Jean-Michel; Müller, Dennis W H (2012). Reproductive seasonality in captive wild ruminants: implications for biogeographical adaptation, photoperiodic control, and life history. *Biological Reviews*, 87(4):965-990.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2012.00238.x>

**Reproductive seasonality in captive wild ruminants: implications
for biogeographical adaptation, photoperiodic control, and life
history**

Philipp Zerbe^{1,2}, Marcus Clauss^{1,*}, Daryl Codron^{1,3-5}, Laurie Bingaman Lackey⁶,
Eberhard Rensch¹, W. Jürgen Streich⁷, Jean-Michel Hatt¹ and Dennis W.H.
Müller^{1,8}

¹*Clinic for Zoo Animals, Exotic Pets and Wildlife, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich,
Winterthurerstrasse 260, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland*

²*Section for Small Animal Reproduction, Clinic for Animal Reproduction, University of
Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 260, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland*

³*Florisbad Quaternary Research, National Museum, Bloemfontein, 9300, RSA*

⁴*School of Biological and Conservation Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Private Bag X01,
Scottsville, 3209, South Africa*

⁵*Department of Anthropology, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO80309, USA*

⁶*International Species Information System, 2600 Eagan Woods Drive, Suite 50, Eagan, MN
55121-1170, USA*

⁷*Leibniz-Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research, Alfred-Kowalke-Strasse 17, 10315 Berlin,
Germany*

⁸*National Park 'Bavarian Forest', Grafenau, Germany*

* Author for correspondence (E-mail: mclauss@vetclinics.uzh.ch).

Running head: Reproductive seasonality in ruminants

ABSTRACT

Many ruminant species show seasonal patterns of reproduction. Causes for this are widely debated, and include adaptations to seasonal availability of resources (with cues either from body condition in more tropical, or from photoperiodism in higher latitude habitats) and/or defence strategies against predators. Conclusions so far are limited to datasets with less than 30 species. Here, we use a dataset on 110 wild ruminant species kept in captivity in temperate-zone zoos to describe their reproductive patterns quantitatively [determining the birth peak breadth (BPB) as the number of days in which 80% of all births occur]; then we link this pattern to various biological characteristics [latitude of origin, mother-young-relationship (hider/follower), proportion of grass in the natural diet (grazer/browser), sexual size dimorphism/mating system], and compare it with reports for free-ranging animals. When comparing taxonomic subgroups, variance in BPB is highly correlated to the minimum, but not the maximum BPB, suggesting that a high BPB (i.e. an aseasonal reproductive pattern) is the plesiomorphic character in ruminants. Globally, latitude of natural origin is highly correlated to the BPB observed in captivity, supporting an overruling impact of photoperiodism on ruminant reproduction. Feeding type has no additional influence; the hider/follower dichotomy, associated with the anti-predator strategy of ‘swamping’, has additional influence in the subset of African species only. Sexual size dimorphism and mating system are marginally associated with the BPB, potentially indicating a facilitation of polygamy under seasonal conditions. The difference in the calculated Julian date of conception between captive populations and that reported for free-ranging ones corresponds to the one expected if absolute day length was the main trigger in highly seasonal species: calculated day length at the time of conception between free-ranging and captive populations followed a $y=x$ relationship. Only 11 species (all originating from lower latitudes) were

considered to change their reproductive pattern distinctively between the wild and captivity, with 10 becoming less seasonal (but not aseasonal) in human care, indicating that seasonality observed in the wild was partly resource-associated. Only one species (*Antidorcas marsupialis*) became more seasonal in captivity, presumably because resource availability in the wild overrules the innate photoperiodic response. Reproductive seasonality explains additional variance in the body mass–gestation period relationship, with more seasonal species having shorter gestation periods for their body size. We conclude that photoperiodism, and in particular absolute day length, are genetically fixed triggers for reproduction that may be malleable to some extent by body condition, and that plasticity in gestation length is an important facilitator that may partly explain the success of ruminant radiation to high latitudes. Evidence for an anti-predator strategy involving seasonal reproduction is limited to African species. Reproductive seasonality following rainfall patterns may not be an adaptation to give birth in periods of high resource availability but an adaptation to allow conception only at times of good body condition.

Key words: phenology, seasonality, reproduction, gestation, photoperiodism, Bergman's rule, Rensch's rule.

CONTENTS

I. Introduction

II. Methods

III. Results

(1) Relationships in the basic ruminant dataset

(2) Quantifying seasonality

(3) Comparison among ruminant groups

(4) Factors associated with seasonality

77 (5) Differences between captivity and the wild

78 (6) Seasonality and gestation period

79 IV. Discussion

80 (1) General characteristics of ruminant species

81 (2) Quantifying seasonality

82 (3) Evolving seasonality

83 (4) Causative factors for seasonality: interspecific comparisons

84 (a) Latitude

85 (b) Altitude

86 (c) Predator avoidance

87 (d) Natural diet

88 (e) Mating type

89 (5) Environmental correlates of seasonality: intraspecific comparisons

90 (a) Photoperiodism

91 (b) Resource availability

92 (c) Specific examples

93 (6) Gestation length and reproductive seasonality

94 V. Conclusions

95 VI. Acknowledgements

96 VII. References

97 VIII. Supporting information

98

99 **I. INTRODUCTION**

100 Habitats do not offer steady conditions to their inhabitants - they are exposed to climatic
 101 variations and environmental disasters. Some of these variations are unpredictable incidents
 102 such as cosmic or tectonic events, and life reacts to such phenomena rather than adapting to

them. Other variations occur more or less regularly, but are nevertheless unpredictable - like the El Niño effect, or fruit mast years. To cope with such variations, species evolve mechanisms that allow for a plastic response of their behavioural patterns. A prerequisite of such behavioural plasticity is a fine detection mechanism that allows early sensing of the emerging event – especially if a response in reproductive output is adaptive. Examples for such adaptations are the reproductive patterns in kakapo (*Strigops habroptilus*) (Powlesland *et al.*, 1992) or dormice (*Glis glis*) (reviewed in Ruf *et al.*, 2006) that only reproduce during fruit mast years. By contrast, the whole life cycle of a species adapts (and does not just react) to regular and more or less predictable (periodical) environmental variations. One of the most important and best predictable variations is the seasonality in temperate zones, and its most reliable predictor is the variation in day length – photoperiodism – over the year (Bradshaw & Holzapfel, 2007). As an adaptation to seasonal changing of climate conditions within the temperate zone over the year, various animal species of all mammalian clades developed seasonal patterns of reproduction (Bronson, 1989). This allows for offspring to be born when food is available in abundance (which is important to ensure milk production), and the newborns to grow under favourable climatic conditions (Rutberg, 1984; Sinclair, Mduma & Arcese, 2000b; Santiago-Moreno *et al.*, 2006). Detailed studies have demonstrated that in several seasonal wild ruminants, earlier-born offspring can gain more weight and therefore survive the next winter better than later-born offspring (reviewed e.g. by Côté & Festa-Bianchet, 2001). This seasonal reproduction is cued by photoperiodism (Bradshaw & Holzapfel, 2007), which, *via* the induction of melatonin release modulates circadian and circannual pacemakers (Hazlerigg & Loudon, 2008; Dardene, 2012). Such physiological pacemakers are an active field of current research (e.g. Lincoln *et al.*, 2006; Hazlerigg & Lincoln, 2011; Hut & Beersma, 2011), but are not the topic of this review.

The seasonal reproduction of certain domestic species has important consequences for animal farming, as the reproduction rate of strictly seasonal species is more or less

restricted to one reproductive cycle per year under the natural photoperiodic conditions of the northern hemisphere (Asher, Monfort & Wemmer, 1999; Rhind, Archer & Adam, 2002; Chemineau *et al.*, 2008). To overcome this limitation, artificial photoperiodic treatments are used to control reproduction in seasonal farm animals like sheep, goats and horses (Chemineau *et al.*, 2008). Reproductive seasonality must also be considered in conservation projects of endangered species. A thorough knowledge of the reproductive physiology (including seasonality) is a prerequisite for the successful use of assisted reproduction methods (gamete cryopreservation, artificial insemination, embryo transfer and *in vitro* fertilization) (Jabbour, Hayssen & Bruford, 1997; Sinclair, Ludwig & Clark, 2000a; Penfold, 2005; Santiago-Moreno *et al.*, 2006). The most famous example is the *ex situ* breeding program for the endangered giant panda (*Ailuropoda melanoleuca*), where monitoring of the female oestrus cycle during the short breeding season is used to decide when to introduce the male to the female, or when artificial insemination should be performed (Hodges *et al.*, 1984; Masui *et al.*, 1989).

To detect seasonal reproductive behaviour in the wild, the annual distribution of either mating or birthing events has to be observed. This might be difficult for many species that are either elusive or live in remote habitats, like duikers (Wilson, 2005) or chevrotains (Barrette, 1987; Nowak, 1991; Matsubayashi, Bosi & Kohshima, 2003). Birth records from zoological gardens offer very precise information about reproductive seasonality (Zuckerman, 1952; Brand, 1963; Pelt, 1967; Dittrich, 1970; Kirkwood, Gaskin & Markham, 1987; Skinner, Moss & Skinner, 2002), but it is not certain whether the reproductive seasonality of species in zoos reflects patterns of their conspecifics in the wild (Fairall, 1968). So far, only some exemplary comparisons of seasonality in reproduction between wild and captive populations have been published. It is described that some mammalian species with a fixed breeding season in temperate latitudes reverse their breeding season when transported to the Southern hemisphere, but demonstrate the same breeding season or an irregular pattern when

transported to the tropics (e.g. Marshall, 1937; The Duke of Bedford & Marshall, 1942; Zuckerman, 1952; Brand, 1963; Caughley, 1971; Spinage, 1973; Fletcher, 1974; Paré, Barrette & Prescott, 1996; Skinner *et al.*, 2002). As most zoos are located within the temperate zone of the Northern hemisphere with its distinct seasons, but provide food in sufficient amounts and quality throughout the year, an analysis of reproductive seasonality of wild species in captivity may provide further insights into the regulating mechanisms of reproductive seasonality. For example, Skinner *et al.* (2002) suggested that the change of the sable antelope (*Hippotragus niger*) from a seasonal breeder in the wild to a non-seasonal breeder in captivity could be explained by the continuous feeding regime in the zoo. Pelt (1967) had already presented a similar observation and interpretation due to a comparison of free-ranging and captive blackbuck (*Antelope cervicapra*), and Piening Schuler *et al.* (2009) made similar observations for several gazelle species. On the other hand, the finding that some gazelles that were considered aseasonal breeders, with a seasonal birthing pattern in the wild putatively due to seasonal fluctuations in forage availability, retained their seasonal reproduction in captivity under constant diet provision (Flower, 1932), led to the conclusion that there actually was an underlying photoperiodic response that determined the observed birthing patterns.

The above studies, as well as observations from the wild, mainly provide categories to describe the seasonality of reproductive behaviour (e.g. seasonal *versus* non-seasonal), or describe the monthly distribution of birth events (e.g. Spinage, 1973; Skinner *et al.*, 2002). Even though quantitative, the monthly distribution of births often does not allow for a comparison between different species, because the degree of seasonality is not sufficiently resolved. A species that may give birth to half of the annual offspring in the last week of March and the other half in the first week of April demonstrates the same monthly distribution of births (i.e. 50% in March and in April) as a species that delivers all the annual offspring in March and April but with an even distribution of birthing during the whole

months. The first species is, however, notably ‘more’ seasonal than the second one. Such analyses of the degree of seasonality across different species are needed to test for factors that influence reproductive seasonality. Unfortunately, the generation of such comparative data requires a very close monitoring of whole populations (i.e. a precise documentation of all births within a population), and sufficient data from the wild are therefore mostly not available.

The situation for data of captive-born animals is completely different. The International Species Information System (ISIS) is an institute of the international zoo community that has collected stock data of participating zoos (approximately 750 worldwide) over the last 35 years. These data include the exact dates of birth of almost all individuals born in captivity since 1980. This collection therefore provides data on birth dates in a previously unknown quantity, facilitating a quantitative analysis of reproductive seasonality.

Here, we introduce an expression of reproductive seasonality as a continuous variable, to increase the power of comparative analyses. The following hypotheses then guided our approach.

(1) The degree of seasonality in wild ruminants depends on a variety of factors:

(a) Birth seasons become shorter, i.e. animal species become more seasonal, with increasing latitude of their geographical origin, due to a shortening of the season of favourable conditions to raise offspring with increasing latitude (Rutberg, 1987).

(b) The mother-young relationship (hider *versus* follower; Lent, 1974) influences the degree of birth seasonality. It was described that ‘follower’ species (offspring immediately follows mother after birth, and often joins the herd) have consistently shorter birth seasons when compared with ‘hider’ species (offspring is hidden during the first days of life) (Rutberg, 1987; Sinclair *et al.*, 2000b). This pattern was interpreted as an adaptation to prevent predation. Estes (1976) showed that wildebeest (*Connochaetes taurinus*) calves suffered a lower mortality when born at the peak of the calving season and suggested

therefore that birth synchrony was an anti-predator strategy. Similar findings were reported for caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*) (Dauphiné & McClure, 1974; Adams, Singer & Dale, 1995), moose (*Alces alces*) (Testa, Becker & Lee, 2000), wapiti (*Cervus elaphus*) (Smith & Anderson, 1998), roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) (Panzacchi *et al.*, 2008) and pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*) (Gregg *et al.*, 2001). First, the sheer number of young may prevent predators from taking a significant fraction of all newborns when compared to species with non-seasonal reproduction (instantaneous saturation). Second, many adults breeding synchronously may protect their offspring better using group defence in follower species. Third, the presence of many young in a herd may interfere with a predator's ability to pick and pursue a specific target (confusion). Such adaptations are less relevant for hiding species, whose offspring are less vulnerable to predation when compared to offspring that immediately follows their mothers through more open habitats (Rutberg, 1987). Hiders, by contrast, rely on low encounter rates between predators and neonates. In such species, a short birthing season could even be considered disadvantageous, because it would increase the likelihood that roaming predators encounter neonates at a certain period of time, thus promoting adaptations to increase searching activities in this particular time period (Rutberg, 1987). Hiders should therefore benefit from an asynchronous birthing pattern (Ims, 1990*b,a*). Because such an asynchronous birthing pattern is unlikely to occur at high latitudes, the hider-follower distinction should be particularly relevant for less-temperate species, such as the African ruminant community.

(c) The feeding strategy (browser *versus* grazer) influences the degree of birth seasonality. African grazers should have shorter birth seasons compared to browsers, as grasses in rain-dependent regions tend to experience a shorter flush than the deeper-rooted browse plants. This shorter time span in which high-quality food is available for grazers should select for greater birth synchrony (Leuthold & Leuthold, 1975; Skinner *et al.*, 2002). This theory was rejected by Rutberg (1987) for the categorical variables browser *versus*

grazer and seasonal *versus* non-seasonal species for a global dataset; Kiltie (1988) also found no support for this hypothesis in another global dataset. A decisive factor in this respect could be that differences between browsers and grazers might be more pronounced in the African biome, due to specific adaptations to the presence of C4 grasses (Codron *et al.*, 2008a; Codron & Clauss, 2010).

(d) The mating system (monogamous *versus* polygamous) is associated with the degree of birth seasonality. Polygamous species should be more seasonal than monogamous species. Monogamous males that live in close proximity to their (single) partner throughout the year do not have to cope with the problem of finding the female when it is in oestrus. By contrast, males of polygamous species attempt to copulate with as many females as possible, resulting in higher sexual competition amongst the males. Thus, it might be advantageous if all females are in oestrus at the same time, limiting the time period of high reproductive investment for the males (Lane *et al.*, 2010); in other words, reproductive seasonality might facilitate polygamous mating systems. Because the degree of sexual dimorphism correlates positively with mating system in ruminants (Alexander *et al.*, 1979; Jarman, 1983; Weckerly, 1998; Loison *et al.*, 1999), we therefore also expect more seasonal species to have a higher degree of male-biased sexual dimorphism.

(2) Differences between the wild and captivity can help to explain parts of the mechanisms that lead to seasonal reproductive patterns:

(a) Species that originate from a different hemisphere than the one they are kept in switch their seasonal birth pattern accordingly (Marshall, 1937; Zuckerman, 1952; Brand, 1963; Caughley, 1971; Spinage, 1973; Fletcher, 1974; Lincoln, 1985; Paré *et al.*, 1996; Skinner *et al.*, 2002).

(b) Species with a distinct seasonal reproductive pattern, that originate from a different latitude than the one they are kept in, should conceive when the absolute daylength at the location where they are kept is similar to the absolute day length of breeding in their natural

habitat. Because day length changes across the year with latitude, this implies a shift in breeding events in relation to the calendar year (Fig. 1). The direction of this shift depends on two factors – whether the species is kept at lower or higher latitudes than their natural origin, and whether breeding is usually triggered before or after equinox (Fig. 1). Rutberg (1987) predicted such a shift in the timing of reproductive activity between populations at different latitudes. If day length is the triggering photosignal for the initiation of mating or conception, then differences in conception patterns between the wild and captivity should be of such a magnitude that the absolute day length in the wild (x) and in captivity (y) at the initiation of reproductive activity is identical ($y=x$). Evidence for or against such a mechanism so far stems mainly from intraspecific comparisons and is somewhat ambiguous. On the one hand, several authors suggest that within a species, latitude does not influence this pattern (Fletcher, 1974; Paré *et al.*, 1996; Moe, Rutina & Du Toit, 2007); on the other hand, many other authors demonstrate that such a pattern exists (Ransom, 1966; Fairall, 1968; Sadleir, 1969; Nievergelt, 1974; Bunnell, 1982; Fig. 5 in Bronson, 1985; Rutberg, 1987 cites several comparisons of populations in East Africa and South Africa; Saether *et al.*, 1996; Flood & Tedesco, 1997; Linnell & Andersen, 1998; Bonenfant *et al.*, 2004; Loe *et al.*, 2005; several examples in Santiago-Moreno *et al.*, 2006; Ungerfeld *et al.*, 2008). In the case of the red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), the direct conflict of reports (Fletcher, 1974; Bonenfant *et al.*, 2004; Loe *et al.*, 2005) makes this question particularly interesting. In the case of the Himalayan tahr (*Hemitragus jemlahicus*), a change of the birthing pattern with latitude would be obvious if one single outlier (out of seven populations) was excluded from the published analysis (*cf.* Fig. 2 in Paré *et al.*, 1996). Alternatively, if absolute day length was not important in ruminants, and breeding was mainly triggered by changes in day length only (as commonly assumed for long-lived animals; Bradshaw & Holzapfel, 2007), then no systematic offset of reproductive events between free-ranging and captive populations should be discernible.

(c) Species expand their breeding season or change from a seasonal to a non-seasonal breeding pattern due to the reduced selective pressure (no predation) or the unlimited water and/or food supply in captivity (Caughley, 1971; Skinner *et al.*, 2002; Piening Schuler *et al.*, 2009). Intraspecific comparisons of birthing patterns between habitats of different quality, between animals of different body condition, or differences in resource availability between years suggest that a higher resource availability, *via* a quicker attainment of a high nutritional status, facilitates earlier mating and birthing seasons, even if the general onset of reproductive activity is still determined by photoperiod (Verme, 1965, 1969; Nievergelt, 1966; Mitchell & Lincoln, 1973; McGinnes & Downing, 1977; Hamilton & Blaxter, 1980; Flydal & Reimers, 2002; Post, 2003). Correlations of rainfall patterns and food quality with mating/birthing events in less pronounced seasonal species support this concept (Moe *et al.*, 2007).

Correspondingly, we additionally expect that those species that maintain a seasonal reproductive pattern in captivity enter into reproductive activity somewhat earlier than in the wild due to their putatively better nutritional status; in other words, for the model suggested above with day length in the wild (x) and in captivity (y) at the initiation of reproductive activity, we predict a relationship of $y = 1.0 x + a$ due to a systematic forward shift of reproduction due to unlimited food supply. In other species, which show a moderate seasonality in the wild, we expect the high levels of nutrition in captivity to result in disappearance of a seasonal reproduction pattern (Montgomery, Scott & Hudson, 1985).

(3) Reproductive seasonality is associated with other life-history parameters that help facilitate the respective patterns: seasonal species adjust their gestation period length so that offspring are born at times that ensure maximum offspring survival; therefore, seasonality can partly explain the residual variation in the relationship between body mass and length of the gestation period (Müller *et al.*, 2011b). The gestation period of artiodactyls scales to body mass^{0.16±0.03SD} (Western, 1979). The variation in this relationship might derive in part from the fact that larger animals shorten, and smaller animals lengthen their gestation period in relation

to body mass in order to achieve birthing at a beneficial time of the year at high latitudes. This concept was elaborated in detail by Kiltie (1984, 1988) who also suggested that species in which gestation times exceed the time between two seasonal periods of high resource availability might be excluded from reproducing (and hence living) competitively at higher latitudes. As this author notes, the (historical) occurrence of species with gestation periods longer than one year – perissodactyls, proboscids and camelids – at higher latitudes indicates that a reproductive biology characterised by short gestation periods is not a *conditio sine qua non*, but should be considered one of many possible adaptations to life at high latitudes.

II. METHODS

We used data of approximately 200,000 animals representing 110 different species of captive ruminants (suborder Ruminantia) from the International Species Information System (ISIS) data files. The observation interval was between 1980 and 2006. We removed species that had their last recorded birth before 1997 (e.g. that were no longer kept in ISIS zoos), or had an overall birth-count below 90. Only records with an exact birth date and from countries of the northern hemisphere with distinct seasons (spring, summer, autumn and winter) were used, i.e. we generally excluded animals from zoological collections of the Arabian Peninsula, Australia, Asia (except for Japan), Mexico, South America and Africa. Exceptions to this rule are explicitly mentioned. Years were sub-divided into 73 consecutive five-day intervals, and the total number of births that occurred within each interval was counted. For leap years, births from December 31st were allocated to the 73rd interval. As there was a salient increase of births on January 1st, which was most likely the effect of the reporting management of some zoos, the first interval of the year was calculated as the average of the second and preceding 73rd interval. This effect was noticeable in 33 of the 110 species. We are aware of the fact that some zoos proactively time breeding of their animals to prevent births in certain seasons of the year; however, to our knowledge, this strategy is not applied universally,

neither on a species nor on a zoo level. On the other hand, zoos may actively prevent breeding by separation or medical contraception. Although this is rare in wild ruminants, such procedures will impact the reproductive output; however, this should not affect results on reproductive seasonality.

For the comparison of seasonality patterns between the wild and zoo data, we had to introduce a categorical variable in order to accommodate literature data for free-ranging populations.

Species were allocated subjectively, based on their birthing patterns, to five categories (Fig. 2): Category 1: narrow peak (less than approximately 60 days), no births for the rest of the year. Category 2: expanded peak (>60 days) or double peak, no births for the rest of the year. Category 3: peak(s) with small number of births throughout the year. Category 4: births throughout the year, undulating pattern (possibly indicating preferred seasons). Category 5: constant births throughout the year without a clear preferred season.

In order to allocate species from captivity to category 4 or 5, at least 150 birth events were required. Due to the concentration of birth events within a rather short time period in more seasonal species (categories 1 to 3), 90 birth events were considered sufficient for an allocation into these categories (*cf.* a similar observation on the number of birth records required for seasonal and aseasonal species by Zuckerman, 1952).

Data on categorical birth seasonality in the wild, mid-latitude of geographical origin, body mass, sexual size dimorphism, mating type, mother-young relationship, proportion of grass in the natural diet, and the length of the gestation period, were taken from the literature (online Appendix S1). We used data on the start of the birthing period in combination with the gestation length of the respective species to calculate the start of the mating/conception season in captivity, and in the wild. Because transitions between the seasonality categories are to a degree subjective, a change of more than 1 category was considered as a true change in the seasonality pattern when comparing seasonality categories between free-ranging and captive populations. The day length of a specific day of the year, at

a specific latitude, was calculated, including civil twilight (which is defined as the time between sunrise or sunset and when the centre of the sun is six degrees below the horizon; light during civil twilight is bright enough to perform ordinary outdoor activities without artificial light), according to Forsythe *et al.* (1995). For these calculations, the mean latitude of captive populations was assumed to be 45°. For the overall relationship with reproductive seasonality, we used the median latitude of origin for species given in the PanTHERIA database (Jones *et al.*, 2009; online Appendix S1); we appreciate that using the median of the range given in this database introduces a source of error in the dataset, because the core area of origin for many species will not be in the middle of their reported range; it should be noted, however, that this source of error is not *a priori* systematic. For the comparison of the day length at which mating/conception occurred in the wild and in captivity (which included only species with a BPB80 < 33 [days](#); see Section III.5), we used data on individual free-ranging populations from the literature for which the exact latitude was noted (online Appendix S2). The date of mating/conception was calculated using literature reports on the birthing pattern of the species and re-calculating conception by subtracting the gestation period.

As a quantitative measure of the degree of reproductive seasonality, we defined the birth peak breadth (BPB) as the number of days (calculated as 5-day intervals) in which a certain percentage (50, 70, 80 and 90 %; BPB50, BPB70, BPB80 and BPB90, respectively) of all births occurred (adapted from Rutberg, 1987). A command line script ('peakfinder', cross platform Perl script) was developed to search for the smallest number of successive intervals that included the demanded percentage of births (Fig. 3). When there was more than one such birth peak (i.e. same number of 5-day intervals), we used the window with the highest number of births for further analyses.

Comparisons between ruminant subfamilies were performed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with *post hoc* tests using Sidak adjustment for multiple comparisons. Nonparametric correlations were analyzed by Spearman's ρ and parametric

correlations by Pearson's *R*. To analyze the influence of external and physiological factors on seasonality, body mass, latitude of geographical origin, mating type or sexual dimorphism, mother-young relationship, proportion of grass in the natural diet, and whether or not a species was of African origin, we included and then excluded these factors or covariates in a backward stepwise general linear model approach, including two-way interactions. Because the interaction of African species and latitude, and the interaction of African species and the mother-young relationship were significant in the resulting model (data not shown), and because several predictions referred to African or non-African species specifically, we decided to perform the following analyses on three datasets – the total dataset, African species, and non-African species. We report results of various linear models in which specific cofactors were tested; we also report the final linear model for every dataset in which non-significant factors were successively excluded (without interactions). To achieve normality, body mass was log-transformed in advance. To test the hypothesis that animals evolved changes in their gestation period length to adapt to a seasonal environment, another backward stepwise linear model was used in which the length of the gestation period was the dependent variable, and body mass, seasonality (as BPB80), mating type or sexual dimorphism, mother-young relationship, and proportion of grass in the natural diet were included as independent variables or cofactors, respectively. We used the relative gestation length (in days body mass^{0.12}; exponent derived from linear regression analysis from log-transformed gestation length and body mass) for a graphical representation of the results.

In order to avoid false interpretation of ancestry-based correlations in these models as adaptations (i.e. finding a significant result simply because related species behave in a similar manner) (Felsenstein, 1985; Pagel, 1999), the analyses were not only performed as ordinary least squares (OLS), but also controlled for phylogenetic influences using the phylogenetic generalized least-squares method (PGLS) (Martins & Hansen, 1997; Rohlf, 2001). The phylogenetic tree was derived by pruning the mammal supertree from Bininda-

Emonds *et al.* (2007) for those species not represented in the dataset, using Mesquite (Maddison & Maddison, 2006). Missing taxa were included [*Gazella arabica* was managed as one population by the zoo community but is in fact a hybrid population; *Ovis orientalis* was split into three subspecies (*O.o.musimon*, *O.o.gmelini*, *O.o.vignei*) as the start of their breeding seasons differ markedly from each other] and polytomies were resolved (see online Appendix S3 for the phylogenetic tree used). Because the resulting tree was not based on our own calculations of branch lengths using consistently the same characters, we used only a tree without branch lengths. The statistical calculations were performed with PASW 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and COMPARE 4.6 program (Martins, 2004). The significance level was set to $P < 0.05$. Differences in significance between OLS and PGLS are indicated in results tables by grey shading.

III. RESULTS

(1) Relationships in the basic ruminant dataset

In our dataset, there was no significant relationship between (log-transformed) body mass and latitude of natural origin across species in the whole dataset or among African species (Table 1). For non-African species, the relationship was not significant using OLS, but was significant when phylogenetic relationships were accounted for (Table 1); in other words, although the overall relationship was not significant when assuming that all datapoints are independent (OLS), there is an increase in body mass with increasing latitude within clusters of more closely related species (among non-African ruminants) – which corresponds to the predictions made by Bergman’s rule (see Section IV.1).

Sexual size dimorphism increased with increasing body mass in the overall dataset and among non-African species (Table 1), corresponding to Rensch’s rule (see Section IV.1). By contrast, the relationship was not significant using PGLS in African species (Table 1), indicating that the relationship does not occur equally in different taxonomic groups. Body

mass was positively related to the percentage of grass in the natural diet in the overall dataset and among non-African species, but not among African species when accounting for phylogeny (Table 1). Accounting for phylogeny, an increase of sexual size dimorphism tended ($P=0.053$) to occur with increasing latitude in the overall dataset but not in the African and non-African subsets (Table 1). Latitude was not correlated to the percentage of grass in the natural diet, but correlated negatively with the length of the gestation period in the overall dataset and among African species in OLS; using PGLS, there was a corresponding trend ($P=0.056$) in the overall dataset (Table 1). The percentage of grass in the natural diet increased with increasing sexual size dimorphism in the overall dataset and among non-African species, but not among African species (Table 1).

The allometric equations for the length of the gestation period (Gestlength), determined using log-transformed data, were, with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses, OLS: Gestlength (days) = 131 (117-146) $BM^{0.12 (0.09-0.14)}$ ($r=0.67$, $P<0.001$) PGLS: Gestlength (days) = 145 (120-174) $BM^{0.10 (0.08-0.12)}$ ($r=0.61$, $P<0.001$).

(2) Quantifying seasonality

The correlation of the ordinal birth season categories with the continuous variables BPB50, 70, 80 and 90 in our data was significant ($P < 0.001$ in all cases), indicating that the quantitative approach of the BPB and the categorical approach necessary to classify literature data yields comparable results. All BPB variables showed a bimodal distribution across species; the larger the BPB chosen (from 50 to 90), the more this distribution was shifted to the right (Fig. 4). This bimodality suggests that although intermediate forms of reproductive seasonality exist, both strict seasonality and distinct aseasonality are the most common modes in ruminants. The smallest BPB50 was 10 days, the smallest BPB70 20 days, the smallest BPB80 30 days, and the smallest BPB90 40 days. Whereas the beginning of the birthing season in captivity did not show a systematic pattern in species originating from lower

latitudes, the beginning of birthing seasons was similar for species originating from higher latitudes (Fig. 5).

(3) Comparison among ruminant groups

There were significant differences both in the mean latitude of origin and the degree of seasonality among ruminant taxonomic groups (Table 2). In particular, the extant Capreolinae (New World cervids) and the Caprinae originate from higher latitudes than many other ruminant taxa, and also have shorter BPBs. When correlating the minimum and maximum latitude of origin and BPB80 with the variance of the respective measurements for the taxonomic groups, a distinct pattern is evident: whereas the minimum latitude and the maximum BPB80 were not correlated with the variance of their respective measurements (latitude: $r=0.33$, $P=0.290$; BPB80: $r=0.10$, $P=0.760$), the maximum latitude and the minimum BPB80 were both highly correlated (latitude: $r=0.89$, $P<0.001$; BPB80: $r=-0.85$, $P=0.001$; Fig. 6), indicating that low-latitude origin and a low degree of reproductive seasonality did not increase the overall range of these parameters covered by a taxonomic group, but that it was the inclusion of higher latitude origins and more distinct reproductive seasonality that increases the overall range of latitudes and seasonality present in a taxonomic group.

(4) Factors associated with seasonality

In the following, results for the BPB80 are given. Results for other BPBs are only reported if they deviate from BPB80 in their results.

The BPB80 was highly related to the latitude of geographic origin in the overall dataset, and among African and non-African species (Table 3). When plotting species on the world map according to their seasonality category, the relationship with latitude is evident

(Fig. 7). Body mass was not correlated to any BPB (data not shown) and did not contribute significantly to the relationship between latitude and BPB80 (Table 4).

With respect to an influence of the mother-young-relationship on the effect of latitude on the BPB, there was a remarkable difference between the overall dataset and the non-African species on the one hand, with no additional effect of the hider/follower dichotomy, and the African species on the other hand (Table 5). In the African species, the hider/follower dichotomy had a significant effect on the BPB80, and in the PGLS model the inclusion of the hider/follower dichotomy reduced the effect of latitude on BPB80 to non-significance (Table 5).

The percentage of grass in the natural diet had no influence on the relationship between latitude and BPB80, neither in the overall dataset, nor among the African or non-African species, respectively (Table 6).

The effect of the mating system was tested in two different ways – using the mating-system categories ‘monogamous’, ‘tending’ and ‘harem’ as cofactors, or using the ratio of male to female body mass, which indicates the sexual size dimorphism, as a continuous covariable. For BPB80, mating system did not have a significant effect (data not shown), but for BPB50, an additional effect of mating system was significant in the overall dataset (Fig. 8); this significance was more pronounced with PGLS, indicating that the effect occurred in different ruminant lineages (Table 7). Sexual size dimorphism tended to have an effect on the relationship of latitude and the BPB80 in all datasets (Table 7).

For the overall dataset and the non-African species, the final model after backwards removal of non-significant factors resulted in only latitude having a significant effect on BPB80 (Table 3); for the African species, this procedure resulted in the model that included latitude and mother-young-relationship (Table 5).

(5) Differences between captivity and the wild

Eleven out of 103 species (10.7%) changed their pattern of reproductive seasonality between the wild and captivity by more than one category. These were (category free ranging/captive) *Axis porcinus* (2/4), *Cervus alfredi* (2/4), *Cervus unicolor* (2/4), *Bos javanicus* (2/4), *Bubalus bubalis* (2/4), *Kobus megaceros* (2/4), *Antidorcas marsupialis* (4/2), *Antilope cervicapra* (2/4), *Gazella dorcas* (2/4), *Hippotragus niger* (2/4), and *Hemitragus hylocrius* (2/5). Ten of these changed to a less seasonal pattern in captivity; only the springbok (*Antidorcas marsupialis*) had a more seasonal pattern in zoos. All these species had their median origin at latitudes $\leq 23^\circ$, indicating that substantial changes in the seasonal pattern of reproduction occurred in low-latitude species only.

The only two seasonal species from the southern hemisphere for which exact data from the wild are available - *Damaliscus lunatus* and *Connochaetes gnou* - shifted their birth season start by nearly half a year (start of BPB80: 155 days earlier than data from the wild in both cases).

Comparing the beginning of the mating/conception season in free-ranging and captive populations of clearly seasonal (BPB80 < 33 days) species (data for captive species from online Appendix S1, for free-ranging populations from online Appendix S2; $N=28$), there was a significant correlation between day length of the day reproductive activity was initiated in the wild and in captivity (Fig. 9). The slope of the linear regression line included 1.0 in the 95% confidence interval for the whole dataset in the PGLS analysis, and after excluding five visible outliers in both the OLS and the PGLS analysis (Table 8), indicating that the day lengths at the beginning of reproductive activity in the wild and in captivity were mostly identical, and that the shifts in the start of reproductive activity exemplified in Fig. 1 actually occurred (Fig. 10). The numerically positive intercept of the regression equation was not significantly different from zero (Table 8). Among the species considered outliers (see Fig. 9) were the muskoxen (*Ovibos moschatus*), the bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis*) and the Dall sheep (*Ovis dalli*), whose populations start breeding at day lengths in the wild (19.9 h,

8.7 h and 8.4 h, respectively) that are never achieved at the average latitude of the zoological institutions in our dataset (45° north). When kept at a higher latitude (than the origin), the onset of the mating season is delayed in some species, leading to later births, and when kept at lower latitude (than the origin), the onset of the mating season is earlier in some species, leading to earlier births. In the goitered gazelle (*Gazella subgutturosa*), for which data were available from Arabian and European zoological facilities, a corresponding shift in the birthing season was evident between the two captive populations (Fig. 11).

(6) Seasonality and gestation period

The relative gestation period showed a positive correlation with BPB in both OLS and PGLS, indicating that more seasonal species had shorter gestation periods (BPB80: OLS $\rho=0.49$, $P<0.001$; PGLS: $r=0.36$, $P<0.001$). For the absolute length of the gestation period, body mass, BPB80, the mother-young-relationship and sexual dimorphism (but not whether species were of African or non-African origin) were significant influence factors in OLS in the whole dataset. In PGLS, only body mass, BPB80 and sexual size dimorphism were significant (Table 9). For African species, body mass and BPB80 were the only significant covariables in PGLS (Table 9). Among non-African species, the inclusion or exclusion of the roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) – known to have delayed implantation and therefore a particularly long gestation period – had a distinct effect on the results of the analyses (Table 9). Without the roe deer, BPB80 was the only significant factor influencing the gestation period in addition to body mass in PGLS; when the roe deer was included, however, the influence of BPB80 was not significant in PGLS, whereas now that of the mother-young-relationship as well as that of sexual dimorphism was. Generally, the interaction between body mass and BPB80 was not significant (not shown), indicating no evidence for a change of the influence of BPB80 across the body size range. Ruminants with a more distinct seasonal reproduction had, on average, shorter gestation periods than similar-sized less-seasonal ruminants (Fig. 12A); in other

words, species with a more pronounced seasonal reproduction pattern often had relatively short gestation periods for their body mass. This pattern was evident in several ruminant lineages (Fig. 12B,C). There were some notable outliers to this pattern. Giraffids have longer gestation periods than other ruminants (Fig. 12A,B; Müller *et al.*, 2011b). The roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) had a surprisingly long gestation period for a seasonal (and for any) ruminant of its body size. The pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*), the pudu (*Pudu puda*), and the Père David's deer (*Elaphurus davidianus*) also had relatively long gestation periods for ruminants of their body size range with a distinct seasonal reproduction pattern (Fig. 12A,B).

IV. DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that in contrast to warning against the use of data from captive populations for the characterisation of the seasonality of reproductive events – because they differ from those in the wild (Fairall, 1968) –, such data can be used fruitfully, partly even *because* of a systematic difference to events in free-ranging populations. The degree of reproductive seasonality of a species can be characterised by a continuous parameter, the birth peak breadth (BPB, the number of days in which a certain percentage of all births occur). This parameter correlates well with the more traditional categorical description of seasonality (highly seasonal, seasonal, aseasonal) and facilitates testing the influence of biological and environmental factors on the degree of seasonality. In particular, we could demonstrate that seasonality of reproduction in ruminants is independent of body mass and mainly linked to the latitude of the origin of the species; that there is a difference between African and non-African species with respect to birth synchrony in the hider/follower strategy; that the photoperiodic signal that many seasonal species adapt to is probably the absolute day length; and that a shortening of the gestation period may be an important adaptation of many seasonal species to ensure birthing at times of favourable conditions but facilitating a rutting season to

take place in autumn or even early winter at the same time. In particular, this study ($N=110$) increases the species number included in comparative analyses on the relevance of seasonal reproduction patterns significantly (Rutberg, 1987: $N=27$; Kiltie, 1988: $N=28$ ruminant species), and thus allows a more rigorous testing of additional effects, such as mother-young relationship, diet, or mating type. Our basic data compilation also demonstrated some additional relationships between life-history and ecological variables among ruminants.

(1) General characteristics of ruminant species

Bergman's rule states that in individuals of a species, or of closely related species, body mass increases with increasing latitude. Previous analyses of ruminant species did not demonstrate such a relationship on an interspecific level (Bro-Jørgensen, 2008), even though intraspecific analyses confirmed the pattern (Ashton, Tracy & de Queiroz, 2000; Meiri & Dayan, 2003). Our analyses revealed that Bergman's rule also applies to ruminants, if one focuses on non-African species only (i.e. mostly non-tropical species), and only if the phylogenetic relationships between the species are considered. This finding represents one of the rarer cases where a nonsignificant finding in ordinary regression analysis becomes significant when phylogenetic relationships are accounted for (*cf.* Fig. 1, p. 147 in Baker, 2002; Müller *et al.*, 2011a). Although testing of Bergman's rule was not a primary aim of the present study, this analysis represents an exquisite example of why controlling for phylogeny in comparative analyses is highly relevant.

Another ecological rule, Rensch's rule (Abouheif & Fairbairn, 1997), indicating that the degree of sexual dimorphism among species increases with their mean body mass, was also confirmed in the present study. This rule had been confirmed previously for wild (Weckerly, 1998; but see Bro-Jørgensen, 2008) and domestic ruminants (Polák & Frynta, 2009, 2010), but not among the larger group of ungulates (Alexander *et al.*, 1979; Abouheif & Fairbairn, 1997), emphasizing the importance of testing patterns at various levels of

taxonomy (Clauss, Kaiser & Hummel, 2008). The relationship between latitude and sexual dimorphism, such as postulated by Geist (hypothesis 10 in Geist, 1974b) or Isaac (2005), only tended towards significance in the overall dataset when accounting for phylogeny, and was not evident in the African or non-African subsamples (Table 1). Geist (1974b) himself provided several exceptions to his hypothesis. Elucidating reasons for sexual dimorphism in ruminants will require more variables than provided in our study.

Conflicting results have been generated on a general increase in the proportion of grass in the natural diet with body mass in various studies, and results may well depend on the selection of species at hand (reviewed in Clauss *et al.*, 2008). The finding that the relationship was not significant considering phylogenetic relationships among African species supports the concept that the African biome requires, because its C4 grasses are different from other forages on a global level, particular adaptations in grazing species (Codron *et al.*, 2008b; Codron & Clauss, 2010); these adaptations are not restricted to body mass alone and may be reflected in phylogenetic lineages. Sexual size dimorphism has been related to the type of habitat (closed/open), with dimorphic species usually occurring in more open habitats (Bro-Jørgensen, 2008). Open habitats are also generally associated with grazing (Pérez-Barbería, Gordon & Nores, 2001). The relationship between % grass and body mass and dimorphism might therefore reflect a habitat influence (Pérez-Barbería, Gordon & Pagel, 2002) rather than an influence of the feeding niche itself – in other words, % grass might just represent a proxy for habitat when tested against body mass. The fact that there is no relationship between % grass and sexual dimorphism among African species suggests the interpretation that in the African biome, the browser/grazer spectrum might not be as distinctively distributed between habitats, with several savannah-based browsers and mixed feeders, and/or that in the African biome, an adaptation to grazing itself (rather than to habitat characteristics for which grazing is a proxy) may be more relevant due to the special nature of C4 grasses as mentioned above.

(2) Quantifying seasonality

In this study, we expressed the degree of seasonality as the number of succeeding five-day intervals within a year where a certain percentage (i.e. 50%, 70%, 80% or 90%) of all births took place. Many previous studies did not apply a quantitative measure to the degree of reproductive seasonality, despite using quantitative data and statistical tests to allocate species to seasonality categories (Pelt, 1967). Variations in the percentages of required births result in different extents of these birth-windows (from two months for BPB50 up to eleven months for BPB90). The correlation between these BPB and the more traditional seasonality categories did not indicate that a certain BPB was more suited for the description of seasonality than another. Caughley & Caughley (1974) used a nonlinear regression equation to describe the birth pattern of free-ranging impala (*Aepyceros melampus*) and estimated the peak of the birth season as the median date of births (explicitly assuming a normal distribution of births around this time point). Because of the non-symmetric appearance of many birth distribution patterns (see Fig. 2), the standard deviation used in their study to describe the duration of the birthing season does not necessarily describe the real birth season very precisely. Similarly, the calculation of the quantitative reproductive asynchrony index (RAI) introduced by Kiltie (1988), or the approach of Ogutu *et al.* (2010) to describe the birth peak and the degree of birth synchrony by fitting Poisson regression models, are based on the assumption of a normal distribution around a birth peak. By contrast, the BPB, with its approach to find the smallest number of five-day intervals in which a certain percentage of all births occurred (possible because of the high resolution of observations in captivity that are made on a daily, not a monthly basis) achieves a higher level of precision in describing the peak birth season. Rutberg (1987) and Meng *et al.* (2003) defined the birth season of a species as number of consecutive days during which 75-80% of the year's offspring are born, beginning from the first birth event that was observed in that year until 75 or 80% were achieved. Note the better representation of the real reproductive pattern of the BPB-approach

(Fig. 3), because the BPB is not linked to the first birth event of the year but to the time period with the most concentrated number of birth events.

(3) Evolving seasonality

Rutberg (1987) found, using a nested analysis of variance, a high degree of variation in the birth season length in ruminant taxa, suggesting that this characteristic is subject to a high degree of adaptive selection. Analyses on a higher taxonomic level suggest that it was the expansion into higher latitudes, and the evolution of a more seasonal reproductive pattern, that led to an increase in the overall range covered by a taxonomic ruminant subgroup (Fig. 6). In agreement with these findings, Jabbour *et al.* (1997) suggest that deer evolved in subtropical climates, and that expansion into higher latitudes was accompanied by the evolution of seasonal reproduction, and by changes in body mass (Geist, 1987). Non-seasonal reproduction is thus considered the ancestral state, as supported by our analyses for all ruminants in general; note that this does not mean that seasonality cannot evolve in low latitudes. One factor supporting ruminant radiation into higher latitudes could be that, aside from the giraffids, their gestation periods are below one year and can be comparatively short (*cf.* the gestation period of domestic cattle at about 278 days compared with that of similar-sized domestic horses at about 340 days - Jafar, Chapman & Casida, 1950; Bos & Van der Mey, 1980), which makes an adaptation to seasonal environments feasible without loss of a vegetation period for the interbirth interval (Kiltie, 1988). As stated in Section I, the fact that species with gestation periods longer than a seasonal cycle live or lived at higher latitudes indicates that while a short gestation period may be an advantage at higher latitudes, it is not a precondition for expansion into such regions. It might be particularly interesting to investigate the climatic conditions, the geographic distribution and the competitive replacement of fossil giraffids (Solounias, 2007) in this respect – the only true ruminants with exceptionally long gestation periods.

The finding that New World deer (Capreolini) show a numerically higher reproductive seasonality than Old world deer (Cervini) corresponds to Jabbour *et al.*'s (1997) claim that due to the historical high-latitude dispersal routes of New World deer, a seasonal reproduction might be considered the ancestral state, and that tropical New World deer should therefore express seasonal reproduction when kept at higher latitudes – something not necessarily to be expected for Old World deer. Notably, several Old World deer species were amongst those in which a less seasonal pattern was observed in captivity; however, no New World deer was amongst those considered 'changers', suggesting a more fixed reproductive seasonality. Nevertheless, the pampas deer (*Ozotoceros bezoarticus*), considered aseasonal in the wild (Jabbour *et al.*, 1997; category 4), had a more seasonal pattern in captivity (category 3; BPB80 < 33 days), which still corresponds to Jabbour *et al.*'s (1997) prediction.

The direction of day-length change separates the short-day (days shorter than the days before) and long-day (days longer than the days before) breeders. Ruminants are usually considered short-day breeders if seasonal, whereas many small mammals such as rodents or carnivores that conceive and give birth in the same year, and domestic horses with their longer gestation periods, are examples of long-day breeders (Sadleir, 1969; Bronson, 1989). There was only one seasonal long-day breeder in the current dataset - the Eld's deer (*Cervus eldi*), which is in accordance with published findings on ovarian function in this species (Monfort *et al.*, 1990). For the rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis*), long-day breeding has also been reported (Van Mourik & Stelmasiak, 1990), which is in accordance with our findings – but this species was not considered seasonal in our dataset due to its long BPB80 of 225 days. All the other seasonal species were short-day breeders. The exception of the Eld's (and rusa) deer suggests that short-day breeding need not necessarily be considered a plesiomorphic character in ruminants, but that different adjustments of breeding seasons can occur comparatively easily among closely related species. This is also demonstrated by the interesting difference between Himalayan tahr (*Hemitragus jemlahicus*) that are strictly seasonal both in captivity

and the wild, and the Nilgiri tahr (*Hemitragus hyloricus* – ‘the world’s most equatorial wild caprid’) that are strictly seasonal in the wild but breed throughout the year in captivity (Rice, 1988; Paré *et al.*, 1996) – an impressive example for the potential for divergence in the adaptation to seasonally fluctuating environments in closely related species

(4) Causative factors for seasonality: interspecific comparisons

(a) Latitude

This study confirms that on a global level, latitude is the most important factor influencing reproductive seasonality. The fact that the effect of the latitude of origin was hardly attenuated by management in captivity (which ensures a constant provision of dietary resources and a predator-free environment) gives evidence for a genetically fixed reproductive pattern that is triggered by factors other than nutritional status – most likely, by photoperiod (Bradshaw & Holzapfel, 2007). The reproductive periods (conception and birth) become shorter, i.e. ruminant species become more seasonal, with increasing latitude of geographic origin of the species (and not the animals’ actual geographic position at the zoo). Our findings confirm previous qualitative and quantitative evaluations in this respect (Rutberg, 1987; Bronson, 1989; Santiago-Moreno *et al.*, 2006), with a much larger number of species.

With increasing latitude, spring starts later, but winter starts earlier in the year (Sparks & Menzel, 2002), shortening the time of favourable environmental conditions for the offspring. In Northern mammals, survival rates of newborns that are born late in the season are reduced, as they fail to accrue body reserves necessary to survive harsh winters (Clutton-Brock, Guinness & Albon, 1983; Rutberg, 1987; Festa-Bianchet, 1988; O'Donoghue & Boutin, 1995; Santiago-Moreno *et al.*, 2006). Thus, there is great selective pressure on early births and short birthing periods.

Fixed seasonal patterns of reproduction do not seem to evolve to the same extent in latitudes that have less drastic changes in resource availability and a higher degree of climatic unpredictability (tropics and sub-tropics). A possible explanation for a low proportion of seasonally reproducing species around the equator is that a limited reproductive period bears a potential threat for the development of a population. Unpredictable events, like droughts or unusual cold and wet meteorological conditions might eradicate the majority of the offspring of a whole reproductive cycle.

(b) *Altitude*

Although not tested in this study due to a lack of sufficient information, an additional factor that may influence the degree of reproductive seasonality is the altitude of a species' origin. Conditions at high altitudes are in many ways analogous to those at high latitudes, and it has been suggested that high-altitude habitats, such as Tibet, could have pre-adapted species to life at lower altitudes but higher latitudes (Deng *et al.*, 2011). As seasons of favourable conditions become shorter with increasing altitude, one can predict that species living at higher altitudes should show a higher degree of seasonality (Mooney & Billings, 1961; Sadleir, 1969; Körner, 2007), in addition to the seasonality due to their latitude of origin. Data on lambing periods from 30 populations of North American mountain sheep showed that the correlations between the start of the reproductive season and their duration with latitude are enhanced when latitude is replaced by a phenological index that additionally incorporates information on altitude (Bunnell, 1982). Differences in the birthing period between white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) and mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*) populations at similar latitudes, but at different altitudes, also suggests such an effect (Ransom, 1966; Bowyer, 1991). The generally short BPBs of species of the Caprinae subfamily – goats and sheep, which are usually alpine species – support this hypothesis (Table 2).

(c) *Predator avoidance*

In studies of seasonal reproduction of ruminants, the two major explanatory scenarios usually evoked are that of an adaptation to seasonally variable resources and that of predator avoidance (Rutberg, 1987 and many publications referring to him). As outlined in Section I, follower species should benefit from a high degree of birth synchrony, whereas hider species should benefit from asynchronous births (Ims, 1990*b,a*) to avoid predation.

Testing this concept by using the hider-follower dichotomy may be problematic in itself, because this dichotomy may not adequately reflect the real and potentially relevant range of behavioural adaptations across species, where for example the duration of the ‘hider’ stage, and the distance between mother and hidden neonate, may vary systematically (Ralls, Kranz & Lundrigan, 1986) – but a finer-scale classification of ruminant species across the hider-follower spectrum is lacking so far. Parallels in the characteristics of ungulates and macropods that correlate with the respective hider-follower strategies (Fisher, Blomberg & Owens, 2002) suggest that seasonality is not the major factor influencing the evolution of the mother-neonate relationship. Most importantly, however, the requirements of adaptation to seasonal resource availability might overrule the adaptive value of adjusting reproductive patterns to predation pressure.

Correspondingly, we did not detect an influence of the hider-follower dichotomy in the overall dataset, or in the non-African species (Table 5). Predation avoidance has been rejected as a cause for birth synchronicity in several wild ruminant species from higher latitudes (Rutberg, 1984; Festa-Bianchet, 1988; Rachlow & Bowyer, 1991; Green & Rothstein, 1993; Aanes & Andersen, 1996; Bowyer, Van Ballenberghe & Kie, 1998; Linnell & Andersen, 1998; Post *et al.*, 2003). Several of the temperate-zone ruminants are hider species yet show a distinct seasonal reproduction. Based on theoretical modelling, Ims (1990*a*) demonstrated that predator saturation due to birth synchrony could only work against highly specialized predators that do not switch prey species; against generalist predators (such

as those preying on most hider species), the strategy is, by contrast, disadvantageous. Panzacchi *et al.* (2008) actually demonstrated such an increased predation of foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) on roe deer fawns and concluded that birth synchrony could not have evolved primarily as an anti-predator strategy in this hider species. By contrast, Testa (2002) suggested that birth synchrony could also evolve in species susceptible to this kind of predation, because individuals born at the very beginning of a birthing period should have a higher chance of survival, due to a time lag between the very beginning of the birthing period and the reaction of predators to search for neonate prey. We conclude that even if effects of birth synchrony and timing of birth on predation risk can be demonstrated in temperate-zone ruminants (see Section I), these effects can only be considered of secondary importance at best for reproductive seasonality.

Notably, support for the anti-predation hypothesis derives from the African biome (Estes, 1976; Sinclair *et al.*, 2000b; see also Moe *et al.*, 2007, who consider the absence of reproductive seasonality as an anti-predator strategy in hider species). Among the African species, seasonal variability in available resources that can be directly linked to photoperiodism is less distinct, and therefore, the effect of predator avoidance on reproductive patterns might be more prominent. Our analysis supported the hypothesis that those ruminants classified as followers show a higher degree of reproductive seasonality than those classified as hider species; including the effect of the hider-follower classification even led to nonsignificance in the latitude-BPB-relationship in PGLS (Table 5). Therefore, we must conclude that in the African ruminant guild, some species classified as followers show adaptations, putatively for predator swamping, that are genetically fixed (and most likely linked to photoperiodism) so that they are apparent even under captive conditions of permanent food supply and protection from predators. It is in particular in comparison to seasonal reproduction triggered by resource availability (see Section IV.5b), which is also prominent in tropical and sub-tropical regions, that the high relevance of predator avoidance

for these few species must be emphasized. Yet we cannot discard the hypothesis that those African species classified as followers (*S. caffer* – the only species in this group classified as rather non-seasonal, *D. lunatus*, *D. pygargus*, *C. taurinus*, *C. gnou*, *C. nubiana*, *A. lervia*) share some other characteristics that favoured the evolution of photoperiod-linked reproductive seasonality, which would make the effect of the hider-follower dichotomy a spurious finding. Adaptation to altitude (in the Caprinae) and to photoperiod-linked seasonal rainfall and migration are possible alternative explanations in this respect. We conclude that, globally, birth synchrony should rather be understood as an adaptation to the seasonal availability of resources and not primarily as a predator-avoidance strategy in ruminants.

(d) *Natural diet*

Putative differences in the degree of seasonality between grazers and browsers due to a shorter flush period of grasses and the associated shorter time span of high-quality food to raise offspring when compared to browse are already controversial in the literature (Leuthold & Leuthold, 1975; Rutberg, 1987; Kiltie, 1988; Skinner *et al.*, 2002). There is no systematic effect of latitude on the occurrence of feeding types in ruminants (Table 1). In the temperate zone, seasonal variation in resource availability affects grazers and browsers alike. In the African biome, there was also no effect of feeding type, which we propose is due to the fact that at times of vegetation growth, not only grass but also browse forage is of higher nutritional value (Owen-Smith & Cooper, 1989; Meissner, Zacharias & O'Reagain, 1999).

(e) *Mating type*

Isaac (2005) hypothesized that latitude influenced the occurrence of sexual size dimorphism in mammals due to variations in seasonal food availability, which, under pronounced seasonal conditions, might favour the seasonal aggregation of animals and thus polygamous mating strategies. She suggested that studies that investigate sexual size

dimorphism in mammals without accounting for the effect of latitude, seasonal variation or adaptations to seasonality might be ‘fundamentally flawed’. There was a trend for a correlation between latitude and sexual size dimorphism in our dataset in PGLS (Table 1), and sexual size dimorphism and mating type did show some relationship with the degree of reproductive seasonality. On the one hand, the mating type of species had an influence on the degree of reproductive seasonality as assessed by BPB50 (i.e. only considering the highest birth peak), with polygamous species having smaller birth peaks for their latitude than monogamous species. On the other hand, sexual size dimorphism was always either marginally significant or close to significant as a covariable in the general linear models applied (Table 7). We suggest that mating type and dimorphism are characteristics that follow the degree of seasonality of ruminant species, rather than facilitate it. Monogamous males that live in close proximity to their (single) partner throughout the year do not have to cope with the problem of finding the female when it is in oestrus. By contrast, males of polygamous species attempt to copulate with as many females as possible, resulting in higher sexual competition amongst the males. Such a mating strategy can more easily evolve under conditions when most females are in oestrus at the same time (such as under seasonal conditions), limiting the time period of high reproductive investment for the males. Nevertheless, many polygamous species (e.g. members of the genera *Kobus*, *Hippotragus*, and *Gazella*) demonstrate an aseasonal mode of reproduction.

(5) Environmental correlates of seasonality: intraspecific comparisons

In general, the comparison of reproductive seasonality between captive and wild populations emphasizes the high relevance of the latitude of origin, and hence photoperiodism, and the comparatively low relevance of resource-induced seasonality in the whole ruminant dataset. In contrast to the expectation that a comparison of free-ranging and captive populations might reveal a high number of species that switch to a less distinct seasonality under constant

resource provision in captivity (Clauss, Hume & Hummel, 2010), the number of such species was actually remarkably low.

(a) *Photoperiodism*

As there were no species that changed from a clear seasonal pattern in the wild to a clear non-seasonal pattern (category 5) in captivity, it can be argued that such a complete reversal of reproductive seasonality is hardly possible. Consistent with this, the ancestors of the most important domestic ruminant – cattle (*Bos taurus*, *B. indicus*) originate from populations of wild aurochs (*B. primigenius*) (Beja-Pereira *et al.*, 2006), for which - according to the latitude where their domestication started (India) - a rather unseasonal reproductive behaviour can be proposed. In fact, a similar argument can be made for some non-ruminants as well, such as the domestic pig (*Sus scrofa domesticus*), which originated from populations of wild boar (*Sus scrofa*) initially in the Middle East (Giuffra *et al.*, 2000). By contrast, the ancestors of the more seasonal domestic goats (*Capra hircus*) and sheep (*Ovis aries*) had been domesticated in the highlands of the Middle East region with a more seasonal environment (Zeder & Hesse, 2000; Pedrosa *et al.*, 2005). Similarly, Ortavant *et al.* (1985) stated that the original site of domestication determines the pattern of seasonal reproduction in domestic sheep as well as horse breeds.

The finding that the timing of seasonal reproduction is shifted by half a year if a species is kept at the hemisphere from which it does not originate, like *Damaliscus lunatus* and *Connochaetes gnou* in this study (and many similar observations referenced in Section I) is evidence for a general effect of day-length change on reproductive seasonality in ruminants. Further support for an effect of day-length change comes from the shift in the reproductive period with latitude (Figs 10 and 11) [see also results on *Hemitragus jemlahicus* by Paré *et al.* (1996), disregarding one outlier population out of seven], which also corresponds to other observations on individual species referenced in Section 1. Finally, the finding of a high

correlation between day length at conception in the wild and in captivity (Fig. 9) is strong evidence for a genetically fixed photosensitivity in ruminants that acts not only at the level of day-length changes (short-day or long-day breeders and refractoriness), but also at the level of absolute day length. To our knowledge, such strict dependence on absolute day length has not been demonstrated previously in ruminants, and is mostly considered a feature of arthropods and comparatively short-lived (and hence small) vertebrates (Bradshaw & Holzapfel, 2007). Experimental manipulation of reproductive activity in ruminants by artificial control of day length was usually not performed with the aim of detecting a specific absolute day length that triggers reproduction, but to detect patterns according to day-length change and refractoriness to long or short days (see Verme & Ozoga, 1987, for a typical example). Therefore, the result that reproductive activity is probably triggered by absolute day length in many seasonal wild ruminant species clearly warrants corroboration by experimental studies.

(b) Resource availability

There is overwhelming evidence that body condition (especially body fat stores), or in other words resource availability and the opportunity to accumulate fat reserves over a certain time period, will determine reproductive potential (Sadleir, 1969; Bronson, 1989). High resource availability and good body condition leads to earlier conceptions and births even in seasonally reproducing species (examples in Ogutu *et al.*, 2010; also see Section I). Our analysis of day length at the time of conception in free-ranging and captive populations (Fig. 9) yielded, as predicted, a numerically positive intercept, suggestive of slightly earlier conceptions in captivity - as would be expected because of the consistent provision with food. However, this effect was not significantly greater than zero, emphasizing that the influence of nutrition on seasonal breeders, though present in individual studies, is not strong enough to cause a systematic shift towards earlier conceptions and births in captivity. Again, more

controlled experiments with defined day-length changes and defined levels of food provision would be required to separate accurately these effects.

When searching for triggers of reproductive seasonality, it is important to separate ultimate causes, such as favourable conditions at the time of parturition, from the proximate causes, which must be sought around the time of conception. Even though gestation period length can be adjusted to a certain degree according to actual climatic or resource conditions, the timing of conception – and hence, the timing of oestrus and rut – are the major proximate determinants of the timing of the birthing season (Ogutu *et al.*, 2010; Clements *et al.*, 2011).

When investigating the effect of resource availability on birthing patterns, it is therefore important to consider these conditions at the time of conception – in ruminants, this means that the resource conditions of the previous season have to be linked to birthing patterns.

Several authors could demonstrate a correlation between rainfall, animal condition or other indicators of resource availability during the time of conception with the timing of either rutting events or birth patterns (Estes, 1976; Adams & Dale, 1998; Post, 2003; Moe *et al.*, 2007; Ryan, Knechtel & Getz, 2007; Ogutu *et al.*, 2010, 2011; Burthe *et al.*, 2011).

Sometimes, confusion can occur as to whether authors refer to the birthing pattern coinciding with the rainfall pattern of the same year of the births, or of the preceding year. One consequence of resource-induced seasonality is that birth synchrony is higher after seasons with high rainfall, because a higher number of females will come into oestrus at the same time (Ogutu *et al.*, 2010). Another consequence is that reproductive seasonality may vary within a species, depending on the degree of resource seasonality different populations of that species are exposed to – either as compared between different free-ranging populations (Moe *et al.*, 2007) or as compared between free-ranging and captive populations (Pelt, 1967; Skinner *et al.*, 2002; Piening Schuler *et al.*, 2009; present study).

Periodic reproduction triggered by resources, and in particular rainfall events, is considered the least understood phenomenon in mammalian seasonality (Bronson, 2009).

This may partly be due to the confusing finding that species differ in the timing of birthing periods in relation to the more or less regular rainfall events of the same season. By contrast, we suggest that the lack of a relevant pattern would probably disappear if one considered not the putative ultimate reason – births close to rainfall for maximum resource availability – but the probable proximate reason – conception at the time of optimal body condition, which should be close to the preceding season’s rainfall, together with the gestation period of the species.

Several examples can be used to support this view. Hall-Martin, Skinner & Van Dyk (1975) found a conception peak in giraffe at the time of optimal nutritional status of females, whereas the resulting timing of birth did not provide newborns with optimal resources. Ryan *et al.* (2007) suggested that the comparatively long gestation period of African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) might be an adaptation to seasonality in the species’ environment, leading, after an induction of oestrus by rainfall, to a birth of neonates close to the beginning of the next season’s rainfall. On the one hand, our own analysis suggests that the gestation period of African buffalo need not be considered peculiar due to the species low degree of reproductive seasonality; on the other hand, the authors themselves note that due to this long gestation period, African buffalo need to conceive very soon after parturition if they do not want to lose out on the next favourable resource cycle. Other species, in which oestrus, rut and conception are triggered by rainfall, might have shorter gestation periods (due to smaller body size), might give birth to their young somewhat earlier in relation to the next rainfall period, and might have more time between parturition and the time when the next conception needs to occur in order to make use of the next resource cycle. The fact that good body condition due to rainfall will trigger conception in many sympatric species at the same time will lead to a variation in birth peaks in relation to the following season’s rainfall - because of the interspecific variation in gestation length. This could be a parsimonious explanation for the observation that sympatric cervid species in Nepal (Asher *et al.*, 1999) or

sympatric ungulates in Southern Zimbabwe (Dasmann & Mossman, 1962) had very distinct birthing seasons (although their neonates should all thrive best at a similar time of year). It could also explain why smaller grazing species tended to give birth earlier (close to the peak protein concentration of young grasses) and larger species later (closer to the peak grass biomass) in the study of Sinclair *et al.* (2000b). These authors also wondered why oribi (*Ourebia ourebi*), although being a very small grazer, had a birth peak not around the peak of protein concentration in young grass (as the authors would expect based on their nutritional concept), but rather at the time of peak grass biomass, and speculated that this might be an adaptation to the necessity for hiding young in tall grasses. A more parsimonious explanation could be that conception might be triggered by young grass growth and that therefore the parturition peak occurs at the time of peak grass biomass - 210 days later (the oribi's gestation period; Jones *et al.*, 2009).

Recently, differences in the seasonal reproduction of sympatric Axis deer (*Axis axis*, seasonal birth peak) and gaur (*Bos gaurus*, no seasonal birth peak) in India have been explained as an adaptation to the higher nutritional requirements of the smaller species (Ahrestani *et al.*, 2011) – an ultimate reason. The proximate reason might simply be that the Axis deer have synchronized births triggered by monsoon rainfall; because of this species' shorter gestation period, enough time elapses after birth until the next period of high resources for the next conception, so that a seasonal pattern can emerge. The longer gestation periods of gaur are more likely to prevent conception at the time of high resources after a previous conception triggered by these events, which will by necessity lead to a more even spread of birth events across seasons. Reduced nutritional requirements of larger species, if existent, could act as a permissive factor that does not restrict conception to a certain time period (Ahrestani *et al.*, 2011); however, our finding that body mass did not significantly contribute to the relationship between seasonality and latitude, neither in the global analysis nor among African or non-African species (Table 4), does not suggest that permissive factors due to

1009 systematic changes in nutritional requirements with body mass are relevant for the evolution
 1010 of reproductive seasonality.

1011 Further insight into these phenomena could be generated if not only the length of
 1012 the rainfall and vegetative periods, but also rutting and the body condition of the respective
 1013 species over the course of these periods was monitored, to test whether species-specific
 1014 adaptations in the timing of the onset of rut exist. Additionally, it would be interesting to
 1015 compare various ruminant habitats with known rainfall and resource patterns, testing whether
 1016 ruminant species composition in these habitats is determined by the relationship of gestation
 1017 period (as mainly determined by body mass) to the lag periods between two periods of high
 1018 resource availability. It could be hypothesized that species are favoured whose gestation
 1019 period allows an optimal use of the respective periods of high resource availability without
 1020 losing reproductive potential due to delays between parturition and the following conception
 1021 (Kiltie, 1988).

1022 Conceptually, one might argue that the resource-dependence of conception did not
 1023 so much evolve as a response to a predictive trigger – in the sense that this year's rainfall
 1024 might also indicate next year's rainfall -, but rather as a mechanism of reducing the energetic
 1025 demands of reproduction during times of scarce resources. Note that the latter interpretation
 1026 removes the element of prediction from resource-dependent reproduction, but shifts the focus
 1027 to an 'emergency break' function that prevents parents from fatally depleting their own
 1028 resources. Such a mechanism has been proposed previously by Owen-Smith (1988, p. 184)
 1029 for white rhinoceros (*Ceratotherium simum*); he found evidence that high planes of nutrition
 1030 were not so much stimulating reproduction, but that rather low planes of nutrition prevented
 1031 it; similar findings on elephants are also provided by this author. Synchronised seasonality
 1032 then simply ensues because after a period of scarcity, many adults will enter reproductive
 1033 activity in the next season of high resource availability. This view is also more compatible

with current understanding of the hormonal modulation of reproduction by signals referring to body condition such as leptin (e.g. Zieba *et al.*, 2007).

(c) *Specific examples*

The peculiar case of the springbok (*Antidorcas marsupialis*), the only species that changed to a more seasonal birth pattern in captivity in this study, has already been noted by other authors for zoos in both hemispheres (Pelt, 1967; Skinner *et al.*, 2002). To date, a similar change of birth seasonality was only observed in domestic hoofstock from the tropics – i.e. Creole goats - which have a rather unseasonal reproductive pattern in the tropics, but demonstrate a marked seasonality when subjected to the large photoperiodic variation in the northern hemisphere (Chemineau *et al.*, 2004). Skinner *et al.* (1996) observed irregular rutting in springbok males but noted that the majority of ruts occurred closely to the beginning of the long-day period after the southern-hemisphere winter solstice in June; by contrast, conception in our zoo population was concentrated during the short-day period of the northern-hemisphere year. Reasons for this discrepancy remain unclear, but could lie in the fact that the animals observed in the wild did not respond to photoperiodism but to resource cues. Later Skinner *et al.* (2001) observed spontaneous ovarian cycling in recently matured springbok ewes from November to June, i.e. in the short-day period of the southern hemisphere; the animals ceased cycling close to the summer solstice and started cycling again, after an interruption of about four months, during the following short-day period. These findings are easier to reconcile with the observations from captivity, and suggest that in the wild, there is a strong modulation of seasonal reproduction by resource availability, leading to variable conception dates. In captivity, because resources are continually provided, the photoperiodic signal is less modulated and hence seasonality more readily detected.

By contrast, the striking difference in seasonality between the free-ranging and the captive Nilgiri tahr (*Hemitragus hyloricus*) populations, with non-seasonal reproduction in

captivity, do not support the hypothesis that reproduction is linked to photoperiod in this species. Rice (1988) suggested changes in photoperiod due to the monsoon (with short days due to clouded skies) as the proximate causes of seasonal reproduction in free-ranging Nilgiri tahr, but this should then also have an effect in temperate-zone zoos. In this species, reproduction is therefore probably only resource-constrained.

(6) Gestation length and reproductive seasonality

Several authors have noted the relevance of gestation period lengths for seasonal reproduction (Kiltie, 1988; Owen-Smith, 1988; Jabbour *et al.*, 1997). In particular, it has been suggested that smaller species might be forced to evolve particularly long gestation periods, in order to bridge the time between favourable rutting conditions and favourable birthing conditions (Jabbour *et al.*, 1997), and that large animals need to shorten their gestation period so that both parturition and the subsequent conception can both occur in the same (short) vegetation period; otherwise, they would lose one seasonal cycle for reproduction (Kiltie, 1988). The results of our study clearly support the latter concept, in that more seasonal ruminants have comparatively shorter gestation periods (Fig. 12). In contrast, there was no evidence for a systematic increase in gestation period with decreasing body mass in seasonal ruminants (i.e. the seasonality-body mass interaction was not significant when investigating the influence of both factors on gestation length).

It needs to be acknowledged that the concept of one assumed fixed gestation period length per species evidently does not reflect reality. The length of the gestation period can change, within a species, with parity (primiparous *versus* multiparous) and with the condition of the mother (and hence with variable environmental conditions), with the sex and the number of the offspring, and with conception date itself (reviewed in Clements *et al.*, 2011). While such fine-tuning of gestation periods does occur, it is nevertheless the timing of

conception – and hence, the average gestation period of a species – that is the major determinant of the timing of the birthing season (Ogutu *et al.*, 2010; Clements *et al.*, 2011).

As seasonal environments have short periods of sufficient food supply, these time periods have to be used to full capacity by resident species. The mating season is often accompanied by a decreased food intake not only due to lack of time (Geist, 1974a; Lincoln & Short, 1980) but probably also as a side-effect of physiological processes associated with chemical cues of rut (Miquelle, 1990). It may be advantageous in seasonal environments to set this period to a time of the year when food supply is no longer at its optimum and body fat stores have accumulated maximally (Owen-Smith, 2002, pp. 156-158), so that no valuable opportunities for building up body reserves for the winter period are wasted. To achieve this goal, seasonal reproducing species of higher latitudes might shift conception and rut more to the end of the vegetative period, and hence closer to the next favourable period of parturition. It remains to be investigated whether differences between various taxonomic groups exist in the degree that gestation periods can be shortened. This characteristic may have made some ruminant groups particularly pre-adapted candidates for the occupation of seasonal habitats (in contrast to giraffids, camelids or perissodactyls) as suggested above.

On the other hand, a prolongation of gestation periods appears to be rare among ruminants. Increasing gestation length by the mechanism of delayed implantation – possibly a conserved characteristic in mammals (Ptak *et al.*, 2012) - has been documented in the roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) (Short & Hay, 1966). Note that the inclusion of this dramatic outlier affected the statistical results (Table 9). Delayed implantation was also suspected to occur in several other ruminant species such as the New World deer *Blastocerus* and *Hippocamelus* (not represented in this study) (Jabbour *et al.*, 1997), pudu (*Pudu puda*) (Bubenik *et al.*, 2000), and in the Père David's deer (*Elaphurus davidianus*) (Brinklow & Loudon, 1993). Our findings reinforce such suspicions insofar as both the pudu and the Père David's deer are among the more seasonal species that have, for their body size, comparatively long gestation

periods; the same is true for the pronghorn antelope (*Antilocapra americana*) (Fig. 12A). In the pronghorn, foetal growth might be delayed as compared to other ungulates (Fig. 1 in Robbins & Robbins, 1979), and as yet unconfirmed reports of an increasing gestation period with latitude (Buechner, 1950) are also compatible with delayed embryonic development. Future studies might detect retarded embryonic growth, or delayed implantation, in these species.

V. CONCLUSIONS

(1) We expressed the degree of birth seasonality of species as a continuous variable: the time period in which a certain percentage of all offspring are born.

(2) Latitude of geographical origin of species' was found to be the only significant factor influencing the degree of birth seasonality, i.e. the birth seasons become shorter (animal species become more seasonal) with increasing latitude of geographical origin.

(3) As only about 12 % of the tested species distinctively changed their birth seasonality pattern in captivity when compared to wild populations, the birth season length in zoos can be seen as a good predictor for birth season length in the wild for temperate species.

(4) It is well recognized that the evolved adaptation of using specific photoperiodic cues for the timing of reproductive activity in temperate species can lead to problems under current global warming scenarios. In generally warmer conditions, plants will tend to emerge earlier, while reproduction cued to photosignals stays inert and thus becomes out of synch with the beginning of forage availability, with potentially deleterious effects (Post & Forchhammer, 2008; Post *et al.*, 2008; Bronson, 2009). Changes in breeding phenology, linked to variation in resource availability due to warmer climate, have been documented in both seasonal and aseasonal breeders (Burthe *et al.*, 2011; Moyes *et al.*, 2011). The degree to which ruminants will be affected by the mismatch between photoperiod and resource availability will depend

on the speed at which adjustments of the photoperiodic responses can evolve – or to which degree less-seasonal species can replace more-seasonal species in their respective ecosystems.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Georg and Bertha Schwyzer-Winiker-Stiftung and the Vontobel-Stiftung for financial support, the World Association of Zoos and Aquariums for enabling data transfer from ISIS, all participating zoos for their consistent data collection, and Norman Owen-Smith for meticulous comments on earlier manuscript versions.

VII. REFERENCES

- AANES, R. & ANDERSEN, R. (1996). The effects of sex, time of birth, and habitat on the vulnerability of roe deer fawns to red fox predation. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **74**, 1857-1865.
- ABOUHEIF, E. & FAIRBAIRN, D. J. (1997). A comparative analysis of allometry for sexual size dimorphism: assessing Rensch's rule. *American Naturalist* **149**, 540-562.
- ADAMS, L. G. & DALE, B. W. (1998). Timing and synchrony of parturition in Alaskan caribou. *Journal of Mammalogy* **79**, 287-294.
- ADAMS, L. G., SINGER, F. J. & DALE, B. W. (1995). Caribou calf mortality in Denali National Park, Alaska. *Journal of Wildlife Management* **59**, 584-594.
- AHRESTANI, F. S., VAN LANGEVELDE, F., HEITKÖNIG, I. M. A. & PRINS, H. H. T. (2011). Contrasting timing of parturition of chital (*Axis axis*) and gaur (*Bos gaurus*) in tropical South India - the role of body mass and seasonal forage quality. *Oikos* doi **10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.20244.x**.
- ALEXANDER, R. D., HOOGLAND, J. L., HOWARD, R. D., NOONAN, K. M. & SHERMAN, P. W. (1979). Sexual dimorphism and breeding system in pinnipeds, ungulates, primates, and humans. In *Evolutionary biology and human social behavior: an anthropological perspective* (ed. N. A. Chagnon and W. Irons), pp. 402-435. Duxbury, North Scituate, MA.
- ASHER, G. W., MONFORT, S. L. & WEMMER, C. (1999). Comparative reproductive function in cervids: implications for management of farm and zoo populations. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility Supplement* **54**, 143-56.
- ASHTON, K. G., TRACY, M. C. & DE QUEIROZ, A. (2000). Is Bergmann's rule valid for mammals? *American Naturalist* **156**, 390-415.
- BAKER, R. H. (2002). Comparative methods. In *Techniques in molecular systematics and evolution* (ed. R. DeSalle, G. Giribet and W. Wheeler), pp. 146-161. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel.
- BARRETTE, C. (1987). The comparative behavior and ecology of Chevrotains, Musk deer, and morphologically conservative deer. In *Biology and Management of the Cervidae* (ed. C. M. Wemmer), pp. 200-213. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington DC.
- BEJA-PEREIRA, A., CARAMELLI, D., LALUEZA-FOX, C., VERNESI, C., FERRAND, N., CASOLI, A., GOYACHE, F., ROYO, L. J., CONTI, S., LARI, M., MARTINI, A., OURAGH, L., MAGID,

- 1177 A., ATASH, A., ZSOLNAI, A., BOSCATO, P., TRIANTAPHYLIDIS, C., PLOUMI, K., SINEO,
1178 L., MALLEGNI, F., TABERLET, P., ERHARDT, G., SAMPIETRO, L., BERTRANPETIT, J.,
1179 BARBUJANI, G., LUIKART, G. & BERTORELLE, G. (2006). The origin of European
1180 cattle: Evidence from modern and ancient DNA. *Proceedings of the National*
1181 *Academy of Sciences* **103**, 8113-8118.
- 1182 BININDA-EMONDS, O. R. P., CARDILLO, M., JONES, K. E., MACPHEE, R. D. E., BECK, R. M. D.,
1183 GRENYER, R., PRICE, S. A., VOS, R. A., GITTLEMAN, J. L. & PURVIS, A. (2007). The
1184 delayed rise of present-day mammals. *Nature* **446**, 507-512.
- 1185 BONENFANT, C., LOE, L. E., MYSTERUD, A., LANGVATN, R., STENSETH, N. C., GAILLARD, J.-
1186 M. & KLEIN, F. (2004). Multiple causes of sexual segregation in European red deer
1187 enlightenments from varying breeding phenology at high and low latitude.
1188 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **271**, 883-892.
- 1189 BOS, H. & VAN DER MEY, G. J. W. (1980). Length of gestation periods of horses and ponies
1190 belonging to different breeds. *Livestock Production Science* **7**, 181-187.
- 1191 BOWYER, R. T. (1991). Timing of parturition and lactation in southern mule deer. *Journal of*
1192 *Mammalogy* **72**, 138-145.
- 1193 BOWYER, R. T., VAN BALLEMBERGHE, V. & KIE, J. G. (1998). Timing and synchrony of
1194 parturition in Alaskan moose: long-term versus proximal effects of climate. *Journal of*
1195 *Mammalogy* **79**, 1332-1344.
- 1196 BRADSHAW, W. E. & HOLZAPFEL, C. M. (2007). Evolution of animal photoperiodism. *Annual*
1197 *Reviews of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **38**, 1-25.
- 1198 BRAND, D. J. (1963). Records of mammals bred in the national zoological gardens of South
1199 Africa during the period 1908 to 1960. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of*
1200 *London* **140**, 617-659.
- 1201 BRINKLOW, B. R. & LOUDON, A. S. (1993). Gestation period in the Père David's deer
1202 (*Elaphurus davidianus*): evidence for embryonic diapause or delayed development.
1203 *Reproduction, Fertility and Development* **5**, 567-575.
- 1204 BRO-JØRGENSEN, J. (2008). Dense habitats selecting for small body size: a comparative study
1205 on bovids. *Oikos* **117**, 729-737.
- 1206 BRONSON, F. H. (1985). Mammalian reproduction: an ecological perspective. *Biology of*
1207 *Reproduction* **32**, 1-26.
- 1208 BRONSON, F. H. (1989). *Mammalian reproductive biology*. University of Chicago Press,
1209 Chicago, Illinois, USA.
- 1210 BRONSON, F. H. (2009). Climate change and seasonal reproduction in mammals.
1211 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **364**, 3331-3340.
- 1212 BUBENIK, G., REYES, E., SCHAMS, D., LOBOS, A. & BARTOŠ, L. (2000). Pudu, the smallest
1213 deer of the world: 10 years of endocrine studies of Southern Pudu (*Pudu puda*) in
1214 Chile. *Zeitschrift für Jagdwissenschaft* **46**, 129-138.
- 1215 BUECHNER, H. K. (1950). Life history, ecology, and range use of the pronghorn antelope in
1216 Trans-Pecos Texas. *American Midland Naturalist* **43**, 257-354.
- 1217 BUNNELL, F. L. (1982). The lambing period of Mountain sheep: synthesis, hypotheses, and
1218 tests. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **60**, 1-14.
- 1219 BURTHE, S., BUTLER, A., SEARLE, K. R., HALL, S. J. G., THACKERAY, S. J. & WANLESS, S.
1220 (2011). Demographic consequences of increased winter births in a large aseasonally
1221 breeding mammal (*Bos taurus*) in response to climate change. *Journal of Animal*
1222 *Ecology* **80**, 1134-1144.
- 1223 CAUGHLEY, G. (1971). The season of births for northern-hemisphere ungulates in New
1224 Zealand. *Mammalia* **35**, 204-219.
- 1225 CAUGHLEY, G. & CAUGHLEY, J. (1974). Estimating median date of birth. *The Journal of*
1226 *Wildlife Management* **38**, 552-556.

- CHEMINEAU, P., DAVEAU, A., COGNIE, Y., AUMONT, G. & CHESNEAU, D. (2004). Seasonal ovulatory activity exists in tropical Creole female goats and Black Belly ewes subjected to a temperate photoperiod. *BMC Physiology* **4**, 12.
- CHEMINEAU, P., GUILLAUME, D., MIGAUD, M., THIÉRY, J. C., PELLICER-RUBIO, M. T. & MALPAUX, B. (2008). Seasonality of reproduction in mammals: intimate regulatory mechanisms and practical implications. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals* **43**, 40-47.
- CLAUSS, M., HUME, I. D. & HUMMEL, J. (2010). Evolutionary adaptations of ruminants and their potential relevance for modern production systems. *Animal* **4**, 979-992.
- CLAUSS, M., KAISER, T. & HUMMEL, J. (2008). The morphophysiological adaptations of browsing and grazing mammals. In *The ecology of browsing and grazing* (ed. I. J. Gordon and H. H. T. Prins), pp. 47-88. Springer, Heidelberg.
- CLEMENTS, M. N., CLUTTON-BROCK, T. H., ALBON, S. D., PEMBERTON, J. M. & KRUUK, L. E. B. (2011). Gestation length variation in a wild ungulate. *Functional Ecology* **25**, 691-703.
- CLUTTON-BROCK, T. H., GUINNESS, F. E. & ALBON, S. D. (1983). The costs of reproduction to Red deer hinds. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **52**, 367-383.
- CODRON, D., BRINK, J. S., ROSSOUW, L. & CLAUSS, M. (2008a). The evolution of ecological specialization in southern African ungulates: competition- or physical environmental turnover? *Oikos* **117**, 344-353.
- CODRON, D., BRINK, J. S., ROSSOUW, L., CLAUSS, M., CODRON, J., LEE-THORP, J. A. & SPONHEIMER, M. (2008b). Functional differentiation of African grazing ruminants: an example of specialized adaptations to very small changes in diet. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **94**, 755-764.
- CODRON, D. & CLAUSS, M. (2010). Rumen physiology constrains diet niche: linking digestive physiology and food selection across wild ruminant species. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **88**, 1129-1138.
- CÔTÉ, S. D. & FESTA-BIANCHET, M. (2001). Birthdate, mass and survival in mountain goat kids: effects of maternal characteristics and forage quality. *Oecologia* **127**, 230-238.
- DARDENE, H. (2012). Melatonin-dependent timing of seasonal reproduction by the Pars tuberalis: pivotal roles for long daylengths and thyroid hormones. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology* **24**, 249-266.
- DASMANN, R. F. & MOSSMAN, A. S. (1962). Reproduction in some ungulates in Southern Rhodesia. *Journal of Mammalogy* **43**, 533-537.
- DAUPHINÉ, T. C. & MCCLURE, R. L. (1974). Synchronous mating in Canadian barren-ground caribou. *Journal of Wildlife Management* **38**, 54-66.
- DENG, T., WANG, X., FORTELIUS, M., LI, Q., WANG, Y., TSENG, Z. J., TAKEUCHI, G. T., SAYLOR, J. E., SÄILÄ, L. K. & XIE, G. (2011). Out of Tibet: Pliocene woolly rhino suggests high-plateau origin of ice age megaherbivores. *Science* **333**, 1285.
- DITTRICH, L. (1970). Beitrag zur Fortpflanzungsbiologie afrikanischer Antilopen im Zoologischen Garten. *Der Zoologische Garten NF* **39**, 16-40.
- ESTES, R. D. (1976). The significance of breeding synchrony in the Wildebeest. *African Journal of Ecology* **14**, 135-152.
- FAIRALL, N. (1968). The reproductive season of some mammals in the Kruger National Park. *Zoologica Africana* **3**, 189-210.
- FELSENSTEIN, J. (1985). Phylogenies and the comparative method. *American Naturalist* **125**, 1-15.
- FESTA-BIANCHET, M. (1988). Birthdate and survival in Bighorn lambs (*Ovis canadensis*). *Journal of Zoology* **214**, 653-661.
- FISHER, D. O., BLOMBERG, S. P. & OWENS, I. P. F. (2002). Convergent maternal care strategies in ungulates and macropods. *Evolution* **56**, 167-176.

- 1277 FLETCHER, T. J. (1974). The timing of reproduction in Red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) in relation
1278 to latitude. *Journal of Zoology* **172**, 363-367.
- 1279 FLOOD, P. F. & TEDESCO, S. C. (1997). Relationship between conception date and latitude in
1280 muskoxen. *Rangifer* **17**, 25-30.
- 1281 FLOWER, S. S. (1932). Notes on the recent mammals of Egypt, with a list of the species
1282 recorded from that kingdom. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* **102**, 369-
1283 450.
- 1284 FLYDAL, K. & REIMERS, E. (2002). Relationship between calving time and physical condition
1285 in three wild Reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*) populations in southern Norway. *Wildlife*
1286 *Biology* **8**, 145-151.
- 1287 FORSYTHE, W. C., RYKIEL, E. J., STAHL, R. S., WU, H.-I. & SCHOOLFIELD, R. M. (1995). A
1288 model comparison for daylength as a function of latitude and day of year. *Ecological*
1289 *Modelling* **80**, 87-95.
- 1290 GEIST, V. (1974a). *On the relationship of ecology and behavior in the evolution of ungulates:*
1291 *theoretical considerations*. IUCN, Morges.
- 1292 GEIST, V. (1974b). On the relationship of social evolution and ecology in ungulates. *American*
1293 *Zoologist* **14**, 205-220.
- 1294 GEIST, V. (1987). On speciation in Ice Age mammals, with special reference to cervids and
1295 caprids. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **65**, 1067-1084.
- 1296 GIUFFRA, E., KIJAS, J. M. H., AMARGER, V., CARLBORG, O., JEON, J. T. & ANDERSSON, L.
1297 (2000). The origin of the domestic pig: independent domestication and subsequent
1298 introgression. *Genetics* **154**, 1785-1791.
- 1299 GREEN, W. C. H. & ROTHSTEIN, A. (1993). Asynchronous parturition in bison: implications
1300 for the lider-follower-dichotomy. *Journal of Mammalogy* **74**, 920-925.
- 1301 GREGG, M. A., BRAY, M., KILBRIDE, K. M. & DUNBAR, M. R. (2001). Birth synchrony and
1302 survival of pronghorn fawns. *Journal of Wildlife Management* **65**, 19-24.
- 1303 HALL-MARTIN, A. J., SKINNER, J. D. & VAN DYK, J. M. (1975). Reproduction in the giraffe in
1304 relation to some environmental factors. *African Journal of Ecology* **13**, 237-248.
- 1305 HAMILTON, W. J. & BLAXTER, K. L. (1980). Reproduction in farmed red deer. 1. Hind and
1306 stag fertility. *Journal of Agricultural Science* **95**, 261-273.
- 1307 HAZLERIGG, D. G. & LINCOLN, G. A. (2011). Hypothesis: cyclical histogenesis is the basis of
1308 circannual timing. *Journal of Biological Rhythms* **26**, 471-485.
- 1309 HAZLERIGG, D. G. & LOUDON, A. (2008). New insights into ancient seasonal life timers.
1310 *Current Biology* **18**, R795-R804.
- 1311 HODGES, J. K., BEVAN, D. J., CELMA, M., HEARN, J. P., JONES, D. M., KLEIMAN, D. G.,
1312 KNIGHT, J. A. & MOORE, H. D. M. (1984). Aspects of the reproductive endocrinology
1313 of the female Giant panda (*Ailuropoda melanoleuca*) in captivity with special
1314 reference to the detection of ovulation and pregnancy. *Journal of Zoology* **203**, 253-
1315 267.
- 1316 HUT, R. A. & BEERSMA, D. G. M. (2011). Evolution of time-keeping mechanisms: early
1317 emergence and adaptation to photoperiod. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
1318 *Society B* **366**, 2141-2154.
- 1319 IMS, R. A. (1990a). On the adaptive value of reproductive synchrony as a predator swamping
1320 strategy. *American Naturalist* **136**, 485-498.
- 1321 IMS, R. A. (1990b). The ecology and evolution of reproductive synchrony. *Trends in Ecology*
1322 *and Evolution* **5**, 135-140.
- 1323 ISAAC, J. L. (2005). Potential causes and life-history consequences of sexual size dimorphism
1324 in mammals. *Mammal Review* **35**, 101-115.
- 1325 JABBOUR, H. N., HAYSEN, V. & BRUFORD, M. W. (1997). Conservation of deer: contributions
1326 from molecular biology, evolutionary ecology, and reproductive physiology. *Journal*
1327 *of Zoology* **243**, 461-484.

- JAFAR, S. M., CHAPMAN, A. B. & CASIDA, L. E. (1950). Causes of variation in length of gestation in dairy cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* **9**, 593-601.
- JARMAN, P. J. (1983). Mating system and sexual dimorphism in large, terrestrial, mammalian herbivores. *Biological Reviews* **58**, 485-520.
- JONES, K. E., BIELBY, J., CARDILLO, M., FRITZ, S. A., O'DELL, J., ORME, C. D. L., SAFI, K., SECHREST, W., BOAKES, E. H., CARBONE, C., CONNOLLY, C., CUTTS, M. J., FOSTER, J. K., GRENYER, R., HABIB, M., PLASTER, C. A., PRICE, S. A., RIGBY, E. A., RIST, J., TEACHER, A., BININDA-EMONDS, O. R. P., GITTLEMAN, J. L., MACE, G. M., PURVIS, A. & MICHENER, W. K. (2009). PanTHERIA: a species-level database of life history, ecology, and geography of extant and recently extinct mammals. *Ecology* **90**, 2648-2648.
- KILTIE, R. A. (1984). Seasonality, gestation time, and large mammal extinctions. In *Quaternary extinctions: a prehistoric revolution* (ed. P. S. Martin and R. G. Klein), pp. 299-314. University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ.
- KILTIE, R. A. (1988). Gestation as a constraint on the evolution of seasonal breeding in mammals. In *Evolution of life histories of mammals. Theory and pattern* (ed. M. S. Boyce), pp. 257-289. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- KIRKWOOD, J. K., GASKIN, C. D. & MARKHAM, J. (1987). Perinatal mortality and season of birth in captive wild ungulates. *Veterinary Record* **120**, 386-90.
- KÖRNER, C. (2007). The use of 'altitude' in ecological research. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **22**, 569-574.
- LANE, J. E., BOUTIN, S., SPEAKMAN, J. R. & HUMPHRIES, M. M. (2010). Energetic costs of male reproduction in a scramble competition mating system. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **79**, 27-34.
- LENT, P. C. (1974). Mother-infant relationships in ungulates. In *The behavior of ungulates and its relation to management* (ed. V. Geist and F. Walther), pp. 14-55. International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, Morges, Switzerland.
- LEUTHOLD, W. & LEUTHOLD, B. M. (1975). Temporal patterns of reproduction in ungulates of Tsavo East National Park, Kenya. *African Journal of Ecology* **13**, 159-169.
- LINCOLN, G. A. (1985). Seasonal breeding in deer. In *Biology of Deer Production*, vol. 22 (ed. P. F. Fennessey and K. R. Drew), pp. 165-180. Bulletin of the Royal Society of New Zealand.
- LINCOLN, G. A., CLARKE, I. J., HUT, R. A. & HAZLERIGG, D. G. (2006). Characterizing a mammalian circannual pacemaker. *Science* **314**, 1941-1944.
- LINCOLN, G. A. & SHORT, R. V. (1980). Seasonal breeding: nature's contraceptive. *Recent Progress in Hormone Research* **36**, 1-53.
- LINNELL, J. D. C. & ANDERSEN, R. (1998). Timing and synchrony of birth in a hider species, the Roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*). *Journal of Zoology* **244**, 497-504.
- LOE, L. E., BONENFANT, C., MYSTERUD, A., GAILLARD, J.-M., LANGVATN, R., KLEIN, F., CALENGE, C., ERGON, T., PETTORELLI, N. & STENSETH, N. C. (2005). Climate predictability and breeding phenology in red deer: timing and synchrony of rutting and calving in Norway and France. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **74**, 579-588.
- LOISON, A., GAILLARD, J.-M., PÉLABON, C. & YOCOZ, N. G. (1999). What factors shape sexual size dimorphism in ungulates? *Evolutionary Ecology Research* **1**, 611.
- MADDISON, W. P. & MADDISON, D. R. (2006). Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis. <http://mesquiteproject.org>.
- MARSHALL, F. H. A. (1937). On the change over in the oestrous cycle in animals after transference across the equator, with further observations on the incidence of the breeding seasons and the factors controlling sexual periodicity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* **122**, 413-428.

- MARTINS, E. (2004). COMPARE, version 4.6. Computer programs for the statistical analysis of comparative data., Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington IN, Distributed by the author at <http://compare.bio.indiana.edu/>.
- MARTINS, E. P. & HANSEN, T. F. (1997). Phylogenesis and the comparative method: a general approach to incorporating phylogenetic information into the analysis of interspecific data. *American Naturalist* **149**, 646-667.
- MASUI, M., HIRAMATSU, H., NOSE, N., NAKAZATO, R., SAGAWA, Y., TAJIMA, H. & SAITO, K. (1989). Successful artificial insemination in the Giant panda (*Ailuropoda melanoleuca*) at Ueno Zoo. *Zoo Biology* **8**, 17-26.
- MATSUBAYASHI, H., BOSI, E. & KOHSHIMA, S. (2003). Activity and habitat use of Lesser Mouse-Deer (*Tragulus javanicus*). *Journal of Mammalogy* **84**, 234-242.
- MCGINNIS, B. S. & DOWNING, R. L. (1977). Factors affecting the peak of White-tailed deer fawning in Virginia. *Journal of Wildlife Management* **41**, 715-719
- MEIRI, S. & DAYAN, T. (2003). On the validity of Bermann's rule. *Journal of Biogeography* **30**, 331-351.
- MEISSNER, H. H., ZACHARIAS, P. J. K. & O'REAGAN, P. J. (1999). Forage quality (feed value). In *Veld management in South Africa* (ed. N. M. Tainton), pp. 139-168. University of Natal Press, Pietermaritzburg.
- MENG, X., YANG, Q., FENG, Z., XIA, L., JIANG, Y. & WANG, P. (2003). Timing and synchrony of parturition in Alpine musk deer (*Moschus sifanicus*). *Folia Zoologica* **52**, 39-50.
- MIQUELLE, D. G. (1990). Why don't bull moose eat during the rut? *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **27**, 145-151.
- MITCHELL, B. & LINCOLN, G. A. (1973). Conception dates in relation to age and condition in two populations of red deer in Scotland. *Journal of Zoology* **171**, 141-152.
- MOE, S. R., RUTINA, L. P. & DU TOIT, J. T. (2007). Trade-off between resource seasonality and predation risk explains reproductive chronology in impala. *Journal of Zoology* **273**, 237-243.
- MONFORT, S. L., WEMMER, C. M., KEPLER, T. H., BUSH, M., BROWN, J. L. & WILDT, D. E. (1990). Monitoring ovarian function and pregnancy in Eld's deer (*Cervus eldi*) by evaluating urinary steroid metabolite excretion. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* **88**, 271-281.
- MONTGOMERY, G. W., SCOTT, I. C. & HUDSON, N. (1985). An interaction between season of calving and nutrition on the resumption of ovarian cycles in post-partum beef cattle. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* **73**, 45-50.
- MOONEY, H. A. & BILLINGS, W. D. (1961). Comparative physiological ecology of arctic and alpine populations of *Oxyria digyna*. *Ecological Monographs* **31**, 1-29.
- MOYES, K., NUSSEY, D. H., CLEMENTS, M. N., GUINNESS, F. E., MORRIS, A., MORRIS, S., PEMBERTON, J. M., KRUUK, L. E. B. & CLUTTON-BROCK, T. H. (2011). Advancing breeding phenology in response to environmental change in a wild red deer population. *Global Change Biology* **17**, 2455-2469.
- MÜLLER, D. W. H., CATON, J., CODRON, D., SCHWARM, A., LENTLE, R., STREICH, W. J., HUMMEL, J. & CLAUSS, M. (2011a). Phylogenetic constraints on digesta separation: variation in fluid throughput in the digestive tract in mammalian herbivores. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A* **160**, 207-220.
- MÜLLER, D. W. H., ZERBE, P., CODRON, D., CLAUSS, M. & HATT, J. M. (2011b). A long life among ruminants: giraffids and other special cases. *Schweizer Archiv für Tierheilkunde* **153**, 515-519.
- NIEVERGELT, B. (1966). Unterschiede in der Setzzeit beim Alpensteinbock (*Capra ibex*). *Revue Suisse de Zoologie* **73**, 446-454.
- NIEVERGELT, B. (1974). A comparison of rutting behaviour and grouping in the Ethiopian and Alpine ibex. In *The behavior of ungulates and its relation to management* (ed. V.

- Geist and F. Walther), pp. 324-340. International Union for the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, Morges, Switzerland.
- NOWAK, R. M. (1991). Chevrotains, or mouse deer. In *Walker's Mammals of the World*, vol. 2, pp. 1359-1362. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- O'DONOGHUE, M. & BOUTIN, S. (1995). Does reproductive synchrony affect juvenile survival rates of northern mammals? *Oikos* **74**, 115-121.
- OGUTU, J., PIEPHO, H.-P., DUBLIN, H., BHOLA, N. & REID, R. (2010). Rainfall extremes explain interannual shifts in timing and synchrony of calving in topi and warthog. *Population Ecology* **52**, 89-102.
- OGUTU, J., PIEPHO, H.-P., DUBLIN, H., BHOLA, N. & REID, R. (2011). Dynamics of births and juvenile recruitment in Mara–Serengeti ungulates in relation to climatic and land use changes. *Population Ecology* **53**, 195-213.
- ORTAVANT, R., PELLETIER, J., RAVAUULT, J. P., THIMONIER, J. & VOLLAND-NAIL, P. (1985). Photoperiod: main proximal and distal factor of the circannual cycle of reproduction in farm mammals. *Oxford Reviews of Reproductive Biology* **7**, 305-345.
- OWEN-SMITH, N. (1988). *Megaherbivores - the influence of very large body size on ecology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- OWEN-SMITH, N. (2002). *Adaptive herbivore ecology. From resources to populations in variable environments*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- OWEN-SMITH, N. & COOPER, S. M. (1989). Nutritional ecology of a browsing ruminant, the kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*), through the seasonal cycle. *Journal of Zoology* **219**, 29-43.
- PAGEL, M. (1999). Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature* **401**, 877-884.
- PANZACCHI, M., LINNELL, J. D. C., ODDEN, J., ODDEN, M. & ANDERSEN, R. (2008). When a generalist becomes a specialist: patterns of red fox predation on roe deer fawns under contrasting conditions. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **86**, 116-126.
- PARÉ, P., BARRETTE, C. & PRESCOTT, J. (1996). Seasonal reproduction of captive Himalayan tahrs (*Hemitragus jemlahicus*) in relation to latitude. *Journal of Mammalogy* **77**, 826-832.
- PEDROSA, S., UZUN, M., ARRANZ, J.-J., GUTIÉRREZ-GIL, B., SAN PRIMITIVO, F. & BAYÓN, Y. (2005). Evidence of three maternal lineages in near eastern sheep supporting multiple domestication events. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **272**, 2211-2217.
- PELT, F. L. (1967). Remarks on breeding seasons of some *Artiodactyla* in captivity. *Der Zoologische Garten NF* **34**, 293-296.
- PENFOLD, L. M. (2005). Reproductive physiology and artificial insemination studies in wild and captive Gerenuk (*Litocranius walleri walleri*). *Reproduction, Fertility and Development* **17**, 707.
- PÉREZ-BARBERÌA, F. J., GORDON, I. J. & NORES, C. (2001). Evolutionary transitions among feeding styles and habitats in ungulates. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* **3**, 221-230.
- PÉREZ-BARBERÌA, F. J., GORDON, I. J. & PAGEL, M. (2002). The origins of sexual dimorphism in body size in ungulates. *Evolution* **56**, 1276-1285.
- PIENING SCHULER, Y., HAMMER, C., CLAUSS, C. & HAMMER, S. (2009). Birth seasonality in captive bovids at the Al Wabra Wildlife Preservation (AWWP), Qatar. In *International Conference on Diseases of Zoo and Wild Animals*, pp. 297-303.
- POLÁK, J. & FRYNTA, D. (2009). Sexual size dimorphism in domestic goats, sheep, and their wild relatives. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **98**, 872-883.
- POLÁK, J. & FRYNTA, D. (2010). Patterns of sexual size dimorphism in cattle breeds support Rensch's rule. *Evolutionary Ecology* **24**, 1255-1266.

- 1479 POST, E. (2003). Timing of reproduction in large mammals. In *Phenology: an integrative*
 1480 *environmental science* (ed. M. D. Schwartz), pp. 437-449. Kluwer Academic
 1481 Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- 1482 POST, E., BØVING, P. S., PEDERSEN, C. & MACARTHUR, M. A. (2003). Synchrony between
 1483 caribou calving and plant phenology in depredated and non-depredated populations.
 1484 *Canadian Journal of Zoology* **81**, 1709-1714.
- 1485 POST, E. & FORCHHAMMER, M. C. (2008). Climate changes reduces reproductive success of
 1486 an Arctic herbivore through trophic mismatch. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
 1487 *Royal Society B* **363**, 2369-2375.
- 1488 POST, E., PEDERSEN, C., WILMERS, C. C. & FORCHHAMMER, M. C. (2008). Warming, plant
 1489 phenology and the spatial dimension of trophic mismatch for large herbivores.
 1490 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **275**, 2005-2013.
- 1491 POWLESLAND, R. G., LLOYD, B. D., BEST, H. A. & MERTON, D. V. (1992). Breeding biology
 1492 of the Kakapo (*Strigops habroptilus*) on Stewart Island, New Zealand. *Ibis* **135**, 361-
 1493 373.
- 1494 PTAK, G. E., TACCONI, E., CZERNIK, M., TOSCHI, P., MODLINSKI, J. A. & LOI, P. (2012).
 1495 Embryonic diapause Is conserved across mammals. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e33027.
- 1496 RACHLOW, J. L. & BOWYER, R. T. (1991). Intraannual variation in timing and synchrony of
 1497 parturition in Dall's sheep. *Journal of Mammalogy* **72**, 487-492.
- 1498 RALLS, K., KRANZ, K. & LUNDRIGAN, B. (1986). Mother-young relationships in captive
 1499 ungulates: variability and clustering. *Animal Behaviour* **34**, 134-145.
- 1500 RANSOM, A. B. (1966). Breeding seasons of white-tailed deer in Manitoba. *Canadian Journal*
 1501 *of Zoology* **44**, 59-62.
- 1502 RHIND, S. M., ARCHER, Z. A. & ADAM, C. L. (2002). Seasonality of food intake in ruminants:
 1503 recent developments in understanding. *Nutrition Research Reviews* **15**, 43-65.
- 1504 RICE, C. G. (1988). Reproductive biology of Nilgiri tahr (*Hemitragus hylocrius*). *Journal of*
 1505 *Zoology* **214**, 269-284.
- 1506 ROBBINS, C. T. & ROBBINS, B. L. (1979). Fetal and neonatal growth patterns and maternal
 1507 reproductive effort in ungulates and subungulates. *American Naturalist* **114**, 101-116.
- 1508 ROHLF, F. (2001). Comparative methods for the analysis of continuous variables: geometric
 1509 interpretations. *Evolution* **55**, 2143-2160.
- 1510 RUF, T., FIETZ, J., SCHLUND, W. & BIEBER, C. (2006). High survival in poor years: life history
 1511 tactics adapted to mast seeding in the edible Dormouse. *Ecology* **87**, 372-381.
- 1512 RUTBERG, A. T. (1984). Birth synchrony in American bison (*Bison bison*): response to
 1513 predation or season? *Journal of Mammalogy* **65**, 418-423.
- 1514 RUTBERG, A. T. (1987). Adaptive hypotheses of birth synchrony in ruminants: an interspecific
 1515 test. *American Naturalist* **130**, 692.
- 1516 RYAN, S. J., KNECHTEL, C. U. & GETZ, W. M. (2007). Ecological cues, gestation length, and
 1517 birth timing in African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*). *Behavioral Ecology* **18**, 635-644.
- 1518 SADLEIR, R. M. F. S. (1969). *The ecology of reproduction in wild and domestic mammals*.
 1519 Methuen & Co, London.
- 1520 SAETHER, B.-E., ANDERSEN, R., HJELJORD, O. & HEIM, O. (1996). Ecological correlates of
 1521 regional variation in life history of the Moose (*Alces alces*). *Ecology* **77**, 1493-1500.
- 1522 SANTIAGO-MORENO, J., GÓMEZ-BRUNET, A., TOLEDANO-DÍAZ, A., PICAZO, R., GONZALEZ-
 1523 BULNES, A. & LÓPEZ-SEBASTIÁN, A. (2006). Seasonal endocrine changes and
 1524 breeding activity in Mediterranean wild ruminants. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals*
 1525 **41**, 72-81.
- 1526 SHORT, R. V. & HAY, M. F. (1966). Delayed implantation in the roe deer (*Capreolus*
 1527 *capreolus*). In *Comparative biology in mammals. Symposium of the Zoological Society*
 1528 *of London 15* (ed. I. W. Rowlands), pp. 173-194. Academic Press, London.

- 1529 SINCLAIR, A. R., LUDWIG, D. & CLARK, C. W. (2000a). Conservation in the real world.
1530 *Science* **289**, 1875.
- 1531 SINCLAIR, A. R. E., MDUMA, S. A. & ARCESE, P. (2000b). What determines phenology and
1532 synchrony of ungulate breeding in Serengeti? *Ecology* **81**, 2100-2111.
- 1533 SKINNER, D. C., RICHTER, T. A., MALPAUX, B. & SKINNER, J. D. (2001). Annual ovarian
1534 cycles in an aseasonal breeder, the springbok (*Antidorcas marsupialis*). *Biology of*
1535 *Reproduction* **64**, 1176-1182.
- 1536 SKINNER, J. D., AARDE, R. J. V., KNIGHT, M. H. & DOTT, H. M. (1996). Morphometries and
1537 reproduction in a population of Springbok *Antidorcas marsupialis* in the semi-arid
1538 southern Kalahari. *African Journal of Ecology* **34**, 312-330.
- 1539 SKINNER, J. D., MOSS, D. G. & SKINNER, D. C. (2002). Inherent seasonality in the breeding
1540 seasons of African mammals: evidence from captive breeding. *Transactions of the*
1541 *Royal Society of South Africa* **57**, 25.
- 1542 SMITH, B. L. & ANDERSON, S. H. (1998). Juvenile survival and population regulation of the
1543 Jackson elk herd. *Journal of Wildlife Management* **62**, 1036-1045.
- 1544 SOLOUNIAS, N. (2007). Family Giraffidae. In *The evolution of artiodactyla* (ed. D. R.
1545 Prothero), pp. 257-277. John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
- 1546 SPARKS, T. H. & MENZEL, A. (2002). Observed changes in seasons: an overview.
1547 *International Journal of Climatology* **22**, 1715-1725.
- 1548 SPINAGE, C. A. (1973). The role of photoperiodism in the seasonal breeding of tropical
1549 African ungulates. *Mammal Review* **3**, 71-83.
- 1550 TESTA, J. W. (2002). Does predation on neonates inherently select for earlier births? *Journal*
1551 *of Mammalogy* **83**, 699-706.
- 1552 TESTA, J. W., BECKER, E. F. & LEE, G. R. (2000). Temporal patterns in the survival of twin
1553 and single moose calves (*Alces alces*) in southcentral Alaska. *Journal of Mammalogy*
1554 **81**, 162-168.
- 1555 THE DUKE OF BEDFORD & MARSHALL, F. H. A. (1942). On the incidence of the breeding
1556 season in mammals after transference to a new latitude. *Proceedings of the Royal*
1557 *Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* **130**, 396-399.
- 1558 UNGERFELD, R., GONZÁLEZ-PENSADO, S., BIELLI, A., VILLAGRÁN, M., OLAZABAL, D. &
1559 PÉREZ, W. (2008). Reproductive biology of the Pampas deer (*Ozotoceros*
1560 *bezoarticus*): a review. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica* **50**, 16.
- 1561 VAN MOURIK, S. A. & STELMASIAK, T. (1990). Endocrine mechanisms and antler cycles in
1562 rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis*). In *Horns, pronghorns and antlers* (ed. G. A. Bubenik
1563 and A. B. Bubenik), pp. 265-297. Springer, New York.
- 1564 VERME, L. J. (1965). Reproduction studies on penned White-tailed deer. *Journal of Wildlife*
1565 *Management* **29**, 74-79.
- 1566 VERME, L. J. (1969). Reproductive pattern of White-tailed deer related to nutritional plane.
1567 *Journal of Wildlife Management* **33**, 881-887.
- 1568 VERME, L. J. & OZOGA, J. J. (1987). Relationship of photoperiod to puberty in doe fawn
1569 white-tailed deer. *Journal of Mammalogy* **68**, 107-110.
- 1570 WECKERLY, F. W. (1998). Sexual-size dimorphism: influence of mass and mating systems in
1571 the most dimorphic mammals. *Journal of Mammalogy* **79**, 33-52.
- 1572 WESTERN, D. (1979). Size, life history and ecology in mammals. *African Journal of Ecology*
1573 **17**, 185-204.
- 1574 WILSON, V. J. (2005). *Duikers of Africa (Masters of the African forest floor)*. Zimbi Books,
1575 Pretoria (South Africa).
- 1576 ZEDER, M. A. & HESSE, B. (2000). The initial domestication of goats (*Capra hircus*) in the
1577 Zagros Mountains 10,000 years ago. *Science* **287**, 2254-2257.
- 1578 ZIEBA, D. A., KLOCEK, B., WILLIAMS, G. L., ROMANOWICZ, K., BOLIGLOWA, L. & WOZNIAK,
1579 M. (2007). In vitro evidence that leptin suppresses melatonin secretion during long

days and stimulates its secretion during short days in seasonal breeding ewes.

Domestic Animal Endocrinology **33**, 358-365.

ZUCKERMAN, S. (1952). The breeding seasons of mammals in captivity. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* **122**, 827-950.

V. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Appendix S1. Birth seasonality and biological characteristics of 110 ruminant species.

Appendix S2. Mating season of wild populations.

Appendix S3. Phylogenetic tree used for the phylogenetic generalized least-squares method (PGLS).

Appendix S4. References for Appendices S1-S3.

Table 1. Correlations between the continuous measurements in the basic ruminant dataset used in this study (data from online Appendix S1). BM, body mass (log-transformed); Lat, latitude of natural origin; BM m/f, body mass ratio between males and females (a measure for sexual size dimorphism); %grass, percentage of grass in the natural diet; Gestlength, length of gestation period; OLS, ordinary least squares; PGLS, phylogenetic generalized least squares (accounting for phylogenetic relationships between species; differences to OLS indicated by grey shading).

Correlation	Model	All species (N=110)		African species (N=47)		Non-African species (N=63)	
		R	P	R	P	R	P
BM – Lat	OLS	0.07	0.444	-0.08	0.603	0.16	0.203
	PGLS	0.12	0.213	-0.14	0.336	0.27	0.032
BM - BM m/f	OLS	0.42	<0.001	0.33	0.024	0.50	<0.001
	PGLS	0.36	<0.001	0.12	0.407	0.45	<0.001
BM - %grass	OLS	0.38	<0.001	0.29	0.047	0.47	<0.001
	PGLS	0.20	0.039	0.21	0.157	0.27	0.032
Lat -BM m/f	OLS	0.27	0.004	0.27	0.070	0.17	0.185
	PGLS	0.19	0.053	0.24	0.100	0.21	0.103
Lat - %grass	OLS	0.09	0.334	0.27	0.066	0.06	0.647
	PGLS	0.05	0.635	0.22	0.145	0.01	0.912
Lat - Gestlength	OLS	-0.25	0.007	-0.33	0.024	-0.14	0.273
	PGLS	-0.18	0.056	-0.27	0.065	-0.01	0.912
%grass - BM m/f	OLS	0.34	<0.001	0.13	0.383	0.56	<0.001
	PGLS	0.35	<0.001	0.15	0.312	0.49	<0.001

Table 2. Mean \pm standard deviation (range in parentheses) of the latitude of origin and the birth peak breadth in which 80% of all births occur (BPB80, in days) in wild ruminant species sorted according to taxonomic groups.

Taxon	N	Latitude	BPB80
Tragulidae	2	6 (4-7) ^{ab}	285 (285-285) ^{ab}
Antilocapridae	1	37	45
Giraffidae	2	3 (2-5) ^a	265 (255-275) ^{ab}
Moschidae	1	53	50
Capreolinae	9	38 \pm 19 (10-65) ^{bc}	90 \pm 80 (35-280) ^c
Cervinae	13	26 \pm 13 (7-48) ^{ac}	145 \pm 85 (45-270) ^{ac}
Muntiacinae	3	22 \pm 9 (12-28) ^{ac}	250 \pm 40 (205-280) ^{ab}
Cephalophinae	4	6 \pm 6 (1-12) ^a	265 \pm 10 (255-275) ^b
Bovinae	18	16 \pm 14 (1-51) ^a	230 \pm 50 (115-290) ^b
Reduncinae	5	9 \pm 4 (6-14) ^a	255 \pm 30 (205-280) ^{ab}
Aepycerotinae	1	13	190
Antilopinae	18	16 \pm 12 (0-46) ^a	230 \pm 70 (30-275) ^b
Hippotraginae	6	14 \pm 7 (5-21) ^a	255 \pm 15 (240-270) ^b
Alcelaphinae	5	19 \pm 10 (6-30) ^{ac}	150 \pm 35 (110-195) ^{bc}
Caprinae	22	39 \pm 13 (10-72) ^c	80 \pm 55 (30-260) ^c

Means with different superscripts within a column differ significantly (ANOVA, Sidak *post hoc* test)

1609 Table 3. Correlations of the birth peak breadth in which 80% of all births occur (in days) and
 1610 latitude of geographic origin in the complete dataset ($N=110$ species), the African ($N=47$) and
 1611 the non-African species ($N=63$) using ordinary least squares (OLS) and phylogenetic
 1612 generalized least squares (PGLS).

Dataset	Model	R	P
All species	OLS	-0.82	<0.001
	PGLS	-0.78	<0.001
African species	OLS	-0.52	<0.001
	PGLS	-0.34	0.020
Non-African species	OLS	-0.79	<0.001
	PGLS	-0.75	<0.001

1613

1614 Table 4. Results from linear models testing for an additional effect of (log-transformed) body
 1615 mass on the relationship between latitude of geographical origin and the birth peak breadth in
 1616 which 80% of all births occur (in days) in the complete dataset ($N=110$ species), the African
 1617 ($N=47$) and the non-African species ($N=63$) using ordinary least squares (OLS) and
 1618 phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS).

Dataset	Model	Latitude			Body mass	
		R^2	F/t	P	F/t	P
All species	OLS	0.68	222.248	<0.001	0.199	0.656
	PGLS	0.55	11.143	<0.001	0.227	0.821
African species	OLS	0.31	17.749	<0.001	2.642	0.111
	PGLS	0.12	2.500	0.016	0.300	0.765
Non-African species	OLS	0.63	99.073	<0.001	0.525	0.472
	PGLS	0.57	8.700	<0.001	0.704	0.484

1619

1620

Table 5. Results from linear models testing for an additional effect of the mother-young-relationship (hider/follower) on the relationship between latitude of geographical origin and the birth peak breadth in which 80% of all births occur (in days) in the complete dataset ($N=110$ species), the African ($N=47$) and the non-African species ($N=63$) using ordinary least squares (OLS) and phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS; differences to OLS indicated by grey shading).

Dataset	Model	R^2	Latitude		Hider/Follower	
			F/t	P	F/t	P
All species	OLS	0.68	157.416	<0.001	2.072	0.153
	PGLS	0.56	10.857	<0.001	0.968	0.335
African species	OLS	0.52	4.129	0.048	23.296	<0.001
	PGLS	0.42	1.733	0.090	4.049	<0.001
Non-African species	OLS	0.62	88.229	<0.001	0.029	0.865
	PGLS	0.75	8.600	<0.001	0.235	0.815

Table 6. Results from linear models testing for an additional effect of the percentage of grass in the natural diet on the relationship between latitude of geographical origin and the birth peak breadth in which 80% of all births occur (in days) in the complete dataset ($N=110$ species), the African ($N=47$) and the non-African species ($N=63$) using ordinary least squares (OLS) and phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS).

Dataset	Model	R^2	Latitude		%grass	
			F/t	P	F/t	P
All species	OLS	0.68	221.582	<0.001	1.244	0.267
	PGLS	0.55	11.000	<0.001	1.250	0.214
African species	OLS	0.28	12.907	0.001	1.146	0.290
	PGLS	0.12	2.500	0.016	0.500	0.620
Non-African species	OLS	0.63	98.737	<0.001	1.101	0.298
	PGLS	0.76	8.600	<0.001	1.000	0.321

Table 7. Results from linear models testing for an additional effect of the mating system (monogamous/tending/harem) or the sexual size dimorphism on the relationship between latitude of geographical origin and the birth peak breadth (in days) in which 50% (mating system) or 80% (dimorphism) of all births occurred in the complete dataset ($N=110$ species), the African ($N=47$) and the non-African species ($N=63$) using ordinary least squares (OLS) and phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS; differences to OLS indicated by grey shading).

Dataset	Model	Latitude			Mating system	
		R^2	F/t	P	F/t	P
All species	OLS	0.65	173.633	<0.001	3.156	0.047
	PGLS	0.55	11.750	<0.001	4.140	0.019
African species	OLS	0.30	11.429	0.002	1.465	0.242
	PGLS	0.18	2.083	0.043	1.800	0.177
Non-African species	OLS	0.64	93.096	<0.001	1.874	0.163
	PGLS	0.78	10.000	<0.001	2.180	0.122
Dimorphism						
All species	OLS	0.70	199.876	<0.001	6.456	0.012
	PGLS	0.58	11.000	<0.001	1.886	0.062
African species	OLS	0.32	12.214	0.001	3.251	0.078
	PGLS	0.19	1.929	0.060	2.054	0.046
Non-African species	OLS	0.64	95.263	<0.001	3.874	0.054
	PGLS	0.60	8.300	<0.001	1.748	0.086

Table 8. Linear regression analysis (in ordinary least squares, OLS, and phylogenetic generalized least squares, PGLS; differences to OLS indicated by grey shading) according to $y = a + b x$ with the day length at conception in captivity as the dependent variable, and the day length at conception in the wild as the independent variable (for species selection, see online Appendix S2). Results are given with 95% confidence intervals in parentheses. See Fig. 9 for the pattern of the original data and the identification of outliers.

	OLS			PGLS		
	a	b	R^2	a	b	R^2
All species ($N=28$)	5.37 (2.56; 8.18)	0.62 (0.40; 0.83)	0.56	0.43 (-3.74; 4.60)	0.93 (0.62; 1.24)	0.56
Without five outliers ($N=23$)	0.30 (-1.98; 2.58)	1.01 (0.83; 1.19)	0.87	1.37 (-0.55; 3.29)	0.86 (0.72; 1.00)	0.87

1652

1653 Table 9. Results from general linear models (retaining only variables/factors significant using
 1654 original least squares, OLS) testing for the effect of reproductive seasonality (measured as the
 1655 birth peak breadth in which 80% of all births occurred, BPB80), the mother-young
 1656 relationship (hider/follower) or the sexual size dimorphism on the relationship between body
 1657 mass and the length of the gestation period in the complete dataset ($N=110$ species), the
 1658 African ($N=47$) and the non-African species ($N=63$) using OLS and phylogenetic generalized
 1659 least squares (PGLS; differences to OLS indicated by grey shading).

	Model	R^2	Body mass	BPB80	Hider/follower	Dimorphism
All species	OLS	0.68	$F=200.723$ $P<0.001$	$F=5.035$ $P=0.027$	$F=10.322$ $P=0.002$	$F=22.877$ $P<0.001$
	PGLS	0.50	$t=11.000$ $P<0.001$	$t=3.667$ $P<0.001$	$t=1.000$ $P=0.320$	$t=2.000$ $P=0.048$
African species	OLS	0.72	$F=105.064$ $P<0.001$	$F=6.265$ $P=0.016$	-	$F=10.637$ $P=0.002$
	PGLS	0.55	$t=6.000$ $P<0.001$	$t=2.556$ $P=0.014$	-	$t=1.000$ $P=0.323$
Non-African species	OLS	0.66	$F=100.298$ $P<0.001$	$F=4.086$ $P=0.048$	$F=14.222$ $P<0.001$	$F=9.276$ $P=0.003$
	PGLS	0.51	$t=7.437$ $P<0.001$	$t=1.524$ $P=0.133$	$t=2.097$ $P=0.040$	$t=2.111$ $P=0.039$
Non-African species (without roe deer)	OLS	0.73	$F=129.629$ $P<0.001$	$F=9.018$ $P=0.004$	$F=15.030$ $P<0.001$	$F=8.843$ $P=0.004$
	PGLS	0.59	$t=8.399$ $P<0.001$	$t=2.188$ $P=0.033$	$t=0.954$ $P=0.344$	$t=1.703$ $P=0.094$

1660




Fig. 1. Day length (including civil twilight) of each day of the year at different latitudes (calculated according to Forsythe *et al.*, 1995). The window of the mating season (boxed area) is indicated for short-day breeders (i.e. animals that mate during the period of the year when day length decreases). If mating was triggered by a day length threshold, we would expect species originating from higher latitudes than the one they are kept at to start reproducing sooner in captivity than in the wild if the day length threshold is before equinox, but later than in captivity if the day length threshold is after equinox. For species originating from a lower latitude than the one they are kept at, the opposite pattern should apply.

1671




Fig. 2. Classification of seasonality categories according to the pattern of birth distributions across the year (as divided into five-day blocks) from 1: highly seasonal to 5: aseasonal species. 1, *Dama dama*; 2, *Connochaetes taurinus*; 3, *Nanger soemmeringii*; 4, *Nanger dama*; 5, *Tragulus javanicus*.

1676





Fig. 3. Different approaches to determine the degree of reproductive seasonality in Père David's deer (*Elaphurus davidianus*). The dotted lines indicate start and end of the 80% birth approach by Rutberg (1987), defined as the time period in which 80% of all births take place from the beginning of the first birthing activity onwards, and the solid gray lines delineate the shaded gray area that denotes the birth peak breadth (BPB80), defined as the shortest time period in which 80% of all births take place. Note the better representation of the real reproductive pattern by the BPB approach.

1686



1687 **Fig. 4.** Distribution of species according to their reproductive seasonality, characterised by the
 1688 birth peak breadth (BPB, in days) in which (A) 50%, (B) 70%, (C) 80%, (D) 90% of all births
 1689 occur.
 1690




Fig. 5. Relationship between the (absolute) median latitude of origin of 110 ruminant species and the range of Julian days during which 80% of all births occur in captivity (BPB80). Note that for some more tropical species BPB80 reaches from one year to the next (crossing Julian day 365). For species from higher latitudes, the time of the birth window has a comparatively consistent start, and the birth window is shorter.

1698




Fig. 6. Relationship between the (A) minimum and (B) maximum latitude of origin and the (C) minimum and (D) maximum of the birth peak breadth in which 80 % of all births occurred (BPB80) with the variance of the respective measurements (data from Table 2) for different ruminant taxonomic groups. Note the clear pattern that among taxonomic groups, the variance in latitude increases with maximum latitude, and the variance in BPB80 is higher for lower minimum BPB80, suggesting that taxonomic groups expanded into higher latitudes, and evolved shorter BPB80, from lower latitudes (with longer BPB80).

1706



1707

1708 **Fig. 7.** Distribution of ruminant species across the world. Location of dots indicates the
 1709 median of the natural origin; grey shading codes categorical seasonality. The degree of grey
 1710 shading of dots (from white to black) correlates negatively with the length of the birth peak
 1711 breadth in which 80% of all births occur (BPB80, in days), i.e. aseasonal species are
 1712 represented by white dots (BPB80=240-290 days), strictly seasonal species by black dots
 1713 (BPB80=30-80 days), with three intermediate shading steps (covering the BPB80 ranges of
 1714 180-235 days, 135-175 days and 85-125 days, respectively).

1715

1716




Fig. 8. Relationship between birth peak breadth in which 50% of all births occur in captivity (BPB50, in days) and the latitude of a species' origin. Note that species from higher latitudes demonstrate a higher degree of reproductive seasonality when compared to species that originated from lower latitudes.




Fig. 9. Relationship between the day length at the beginning of mating/conception in the wild and in captivity (data from online Appendices S1 and S2) in 28 ruminant species. When omitting five outlier species (indicated by open symbols) from the analysis, a linear relationship results (solid line) that is not significantly different from the $y=x$ line (broken line) (for statistics, see Table 8).

1733





Fig. 10. Comparison of the day length (including civil twilight) at different days of the year at the average latitude of the zoological institutions of this study (captivity, 45° north), and the latitude of the free-ranging populations of (A) moose (*Alces alces*), (B) roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*), (C) Mesopotamic fallow deer (*Dama mesopotamica*), and (D) mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*). The beginning of reproductive activity in the wild (data from online Appendix S2) is indicated by the black dot; the time period in which 80% of all offspring are conceived in captivity is indicated by the grey circles. Note that the different cases of time shifts between the wild and captivity as exemplified in Fig. 1 actually occur.

1742

1743



1744

1745 **Fig. 11.** Proportion of births (in % of all births) in two populations of goitered gazelles
 1746 (*Gazella subgutturosa*) kept at a latitude of approximately 23° (Arabia) and 45° north (data
 1747 used herein). Note the earlier birth peak in the population kept at lower latitude.
 1748

1749




Fig. 12. Relationship between (A) body mass and the length of the gestation period for ruminant species of different seasonality classes [in terms of the length of the birth peak breadth in which 80% of all births occur (BPB80, in days); note that for statistical evaluation, BPB80 was used as a continuous measure – see Section III.6]; (B,C) the relationship of BPB80 and the relative length of the gestation period (per unit body mass^{0.12}) in ruminant groups (B) and bovid groups (C).